



## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# COVID-19: Analysis of cavitary air inspired through a mask, in competitive adolescent athletes



Ignasi de Yzaguirre i Maura<sup>a,\*</sup>, Joaquim Terricabras Genís<sup>a,d</sup>,  
Diego Dulanto Zabala<sup>b</sup>, Mauricio Monaco<sup>e</sup>, Jordi Santiago Garcia<sup>a</sup>,  
Ferran Rupérez Vielba<sup>a,d</sup>, Joan Vives i Turcó<sup>a</sup>, Gonzalo Grazioli<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Unitat de Medicina i Esport, Generalitat de Catalunya, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Hospital Universitario Basurto, Bilbao, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Àptima Centre Clínic, Mutua Terrassa, Spain

<sup>d</sup> Serveis mèdics Futbol Club Barcelona, Spain

<sup>e</sup> Aspetar Hospital-NSMP Aspire Academy Sports Medicine Center, Qatar

Received 15 January 2021; accepted 1 February 2021

Available online 6 February 2021

## KEYWORDS

Hypoxia;  
Hypercapnia;  
Rarefied air;  
Facial mask;  
Sport;  
COVID-19

## Abstract

**Introduction:** Due to the mandatory use of a mask in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, and the authorization to do outdoor sports in Catalonia, we set out to evaluate the physiological impact of the hypoxia and hypercapnia generated by the mask during aerobic exercise.

**Methods:** 46 adolescent competitive athletes (35 women, 11 men) were evaluated. Measurements were taken of ambient air, at rest intra-mask, and during a stress test intra-mask. The concentration of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> intra-mask and the O<sub>2</sub> Saturation were evaluated.

**Results:** The O<sub>2</sub> of ambient air in the laboratory: 20.9%; Basal intra-mask O<sub>2</sub>: 18.0 ± 0.7% and intra-mask O<sub>2</sub> during exercise: 17.4 ± 0.6% ( $p < 0.0001$ ). The CO<sub>2</sub> was: 0.05 ± 0.01% environmental; baseline intra-mask: 1.31 ± 0.5%, and during exercise intra-mask: 1.76 ± 0.6% ( $p < 0.0001$ ). Baseline O<sub>2</sub> saturation with mask was 98.4 ± 0.6% and immediately after exercise was 97.1 ± 2.8% ( $p < 0.03$ ). During the exercise intra-mask, 30% of the young athletes exceeded 2% of CO<sub>2</sub> and 22% breathed oxygen with a concentration lower than 17%.

**Conclusions:** The use of masks generate hypercapnic hypoxia during exercise. One third of the subjects exceed the CO<sub>2</sub> threshold of 2%.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of FUTBOL CLUB BARCELONA and CONSELL CATALÀ DE L'ESPORT.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: 14521iym@comb.cat (I. de Yzaguirre i Maura).

## Introduction

In the context of the Covid-19 pandemic, and the controversy that exists about the usefulness of masks while performing physical exercise, we conducted a preliminary study with few individuals due to the strict confinement that existed in the first months of the pandemic.<sup>1</sup> The objective is to provide scientific information regarding the gas exchange that is breathed in the mask while performing a physical activity of greater intensity than walking. And the secondary objectives are to offer solid information on the safety or not, of the use of masks for the prevention of CoVid-19, by athletes; and to assess the impact of the use of masks in the inhaled air, during a progressive and maximum aerobic exercise and to observe the oxygen deficiency that its use generates, as well as the possible toxicity associated with the increase in breathed CO<sub>2</sub>. For this research, the experience acquired in our previous studies in these last four decades, carried out in confined spaces with rarefied air, has been useful.<sup>2-4</sup>

## Material and methods

A sports aptitude assessment was performed prior to the stress test, with a physical examination and a baseline electrocardiogram. The Wasserman test was then carried out on a progressive and maximum bicycle ergometer, with permanent 12-lead electrocardiographic monitoring, determination of O<sub>2</sub> Saturation (SatO<sub>2</sub>) by means of oxypulsimetry, heart rate (HR), maximum Watts reached (W), O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> intra-mask were obtained by means of a suction probe attached to a gas analyzer.

46 individuals were included (35 women and 11 men) Age = 15.0 ± 1.1 years (range: 13–17 years); size = 173.5 ± 7.8 cm; BMI: 21.2 ± 2.3. Only 3 athletes had had COVID-19 but they were cured without sequelae.

Material: Pulse Oximeter Model: KTMED INC. Medical Systems® Mod Oxipalm. Ergometer bike model: Cycle Ergoline® GMBH Typ ergo selec 100P. Cardiac monitoring model: MS Medisoft® Type BT. Facial masks: Surgical, KN 95 and home-made mask were used. Dataloger® CO<sub>2</sub> model: HT2000 from HTi. The intra-mask breathed air sample was taken through a probe connected to the MultiRae gas analyzer®, Rae Systems (portable chemical detector) with analysis of oxygen, carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide. O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> were adjusted with the laboratory control gas: Abelló-Linde mixture with 6.6% CO<sub>2</sub> and 12% O<sub>2</sub>.

Maximum oxygen consumption (VO<sub>2</sub>max) was calculated using Astrand tables, corrected for age, and with this METS were estimated.<sup>5</sup>

The current study was carried out in the Metropolitan Area of Barcelona, in the physiology laboratory of the Sports Medicine Center of the General Sports Secretary of Catalonia, during the second wave of COVID-19.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of General Sports Secretary of Catalonia. Parents or guardians authorized the performance of the stress test for each athlete.

## Results

It was determined that the subjects performed the test with a performance of 191.7 ± 30 W; (3.0 ± 0.5 W/kg), equivalent to 13 ± 2.5 METS.

The 46 individuals studied, when they wore a mask at rest, presented a decrease in O<sub>2</sub> available for breathing: 20.9% vs. 18.0; (*p* < 0.0001). Also, at rest the CO<sub>2</sub> levels increased when they breathed through an interposed mask. Environmental CO<sub>2</sub> breathed without a mask: 0.05% vs 1.31% with an interposed mask; (*p* < 0.0001).

During the incremental cycle ergometer test, the air available within the mask showed a 7% decrease in its oxygen level; test start: 18.0 vs. end of test: 17.4 (*p* < 0.0001).

During the exercise, the CO<sub>2</sub> level produced an increase of 34%, baseline: 1.31% vs. Intra-effort 1.76% (*p* < 0.0001).

	Basal	Basal intra-mask	Intra-mask exercise	<i>P</i>
O <sub>2</sub> ,%	20.9	18.0 ± 0.7	17.4 ± 0.6	<0.0001
SatO <sub>2</sub> ,%		98.4 ± 0.6%	97.1 ± 2.8%	<0.03
CO <sub>2</sub> ,%	0.05 ± 0.01%	1.31 ± 0.5%	1.76 ± 0.6%	<0.0001
Heart rate (*), bpm		84 ± 20.6	181 ± 8.1	<0.0001

(\*) The two parameters sitting on the bike with the mask on.

In 14 cases (30%) the CO<sub>2</sub> level of 2% (20,000 parts per million or ppm) was exceeded during the mask effort.

Baseline SatO<sub>2</sub> with mask was 98.4%; and at the end of the test, they presented a SatO<sub>2</sub>: 97.1% (*p* < 0.03), that the decrease in saturation did not present a significant clinical relevance.

The ergometric test had a mean duration of 7.5 ± 1.1 min; Maximum W 191.7 ± 30.2 and W/kg 3.0 ± 0.5, VO<sub>2</sub>max was estimated: 3.3 ± 0.7 l/min (51.6 ± 10.8 ml/kg/min); and the athletes reached a mean of 181.6 ± 8.1 bpm.

## Discussion

The present study shows that 30% of the subjects exceed the 2% carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) threshold during physical exercise, when it is performed with an intensity greater than 6 METS.

Our results coincide with the previous ones carried out by our working group<sup>1</sup> regarding the composition of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>; the push-up test (Ruffier) used in the previous study is a more abrupt exercise than the progressive cycle ergometer test used in the current study. Small differences in SatO<sub>2</sub> results may be due to this circumstance.

Although there are few references to studies in a confined environment and rarefied air,<sup>2,4</sup> we accept 2% CO<sub>2</sub> as a cut-off point at which neurological symptoms can begin to appear, and some authors detected headaches and lethargy even at lower levels.<sup>6</sup> A study with athletes in which a baseline ergometric test and another in a hypoxia tent were performed, detected headaches and various symptoms with a CO<sub>2</sub> threshold > 2% in physical exercise.<sup>2</sup>

Other studies recently conducted in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic showed a significant decrease in mask ventilation when used during exercise.<sup>7</sup> Another work, in

this context, carried out with healthy young individuals, evaluated the CO<sub>2</sub> breathed with and without a mask n95,<sup>8</sup> and although they conclude that the increase in CO<sub>2</sub> during most of the exercise is not significant, in the maximum if it presents a change and for this they also infer that an evaluation prior to the use of a mask would be adequate due to its affectation in people with pathologies. When a standard mask is placed on the face of an individual, the filtering technical characteristics showed enormous individual variability.

The main health risks associated with breathing and CO<sub>2</sub> gas are; according to the Canadian Center for Occupational Health and Safety<sup>9</sup> "That CO<sub>2</sub> is naturally present in the atmosphere at levels of approximately 0.035%. Short-term exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> at levels below 2% (20,000 parts per million or ppm) has not been reported to cause harmful effects. Higher concentrations can affect respiratory function and it be a cause excitement followed by central nervous system depression. High concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> can displace oxygen in the air, resulting in lower oxygen concentrations for respiration. Therefore, the effects of oxygen deficiency can be combined with the toxicity effects of CO<sub>2</sub>."

Some studies show a predominantly parasympathetic response in the case of elevated hypercapnic hypoxia, which may affect heart rate, pressor response, bronchial tone, and peristalsis.<sup>4,10,11</sup>

Regarding the limitations of the study, we can mention (a) small number of subjects included in the study; (b) the related to the methodology of the samples analyzed, because there are few tests that study the gaseous content that is breathed during physical exercise while wearing a mask, that is the analysis of the gaseous content of the mask-subject interface (cavity air) and therefore it is not an ergo-spirometric analysis, but rather the gaseous composition that the subject is inhaling; and (c) a comparative analysis of the intra-mask air was not carried out in the 3 types of models used (surgical, KN95 and home-made mask) because the small number of individuals with each mask model could lead to an erroneous conclusion.

## Conclusions

The use of face masks during exercise generates hypercapnic hypoxia. One third of the subjects exceed the CO<sub>2</sub> threshold of 2%.

## Conflict of interests

The authors declare that they don't have any conflict of interests.

## Acknowledgments

Catalan Federation of Speleology and the Department of Biology and Geology of the University of Almería, which have provided instruments for the analysis of confined atmospheres. This project was partly funded by an unconditional grant from the Memora Foundation for prevent the sudden death in athletes.

## References

1. Pifarré F, Zabala DD, Grazioli G, Maura I de Yi. COVID-19 and mask in sports. *Apunt Sport Med.* 2020:19–21.
2. de Yzaguirre i Maura I, Escoda i Mora J, Cornet JB, Rincón JAG, Zabala DD, Cardona RS. Adaptación al aire enrarecido de las simas y cuevas Estudio de laboratorio. *Apunt Med l'Esport.* 2008;43:135–41.
3. de Yzaguirre I, Vives J, Gutiérrez JA, Brotons D, Tramullas A. Ergometria y cambio climático. *Apunt Med l'Esport.* 2010;45:219–25.
4. de Yzaguirre y Maura I, Grazioli G, Domènech Feira-Carot M, Dulanto Zabala D, Sitges Carreño M, Gutiérrez Rincón JA. Impacto del aire enrarecido de una cueva mediterránea en humanos, a nivel cardiovascular. *Apunt Med l'Esport.* 2016;51:40–7.
5. Mellerowicz H. *Ergometria.* III edició. Ed Med. Panamericana; 1984.
6. National\_Research\_Council\_2008. *Spacecraft Maximum Allowable Concentrations for Selected Airborne Contaminants.* The National Academies Press. *The\_National\_Academies\_Press;* 2008.
7. Fikenzer S, Uhe T, Lavall D, Rudolph U, Falz R, Busse M, et al. Effects of surgical and FFP2/N95 face masks on cardiopulmonary exercise capacity. *Clin Res Cardiol.* 2020, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00392-020-01704-y>.
8. Epstein D, Korytny A, Isenberg Y, Marcusohn E, Zukermann R, Bishop B, et al. Return to training in the COVID-19 era: The physiological effects of face masks during exercise. *Scand J Med Sci Sport.* 2020:70–5.
9. Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety [Internet]. Available from: [https://ccsso.ca/oshanswers/chemicals/chem\\_profiles/carbon\\_dioxide/health.cd.html](https://ccsso.ca/oshanswers/chemicals/chem_profiles/carbon_dioxide/health.cd.html) [cited 28.12.20].
10. Jung HC, Lee NH, John SD, Lee S. The elevation training mask induces modest hypoxaemia but does not affect heart rate variability during cycling in healthy adults. *Biol Sport.* 2019;36:105–12.
11. Tobin B, Costalat G, Renshaw GMC. Intermittent not continuous hypoxia provoked haematological adaptations in healthy seniors: hypoxic pattern may hold the key. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2020, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00421-020-04310-y>.