



## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Effect of high intensity interval training on antioxidant status, inflammatory response and muscle damage indices in endurance team male players



Surojit Sarkar<sup>a</sup>, Monalisa Debnath<sup>a</sup>, Moumita Das<sup>a,b</sup>, Amit Bandyopadhyay<sup>c</sup>, Swapan Kr Dey<sup>d</sup>, Gouriprosad Datta<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physiology, Rammohan College, Kolkata, India

<sup>b</sup> Department of Applied Nutrition and Dietetics, Sister Nivedita University, Kolkata, India

<sup>c</sup> Sports and Exercise Physiology Laboratory, Department of Physiology, University of Calcutta, University Colleges of Science and Technology, 92 APC Road, Kolkata 700009, India

<sup>d</sup> Department of Sports Science, University of Calcutta, India

Received 10 November 2020; accepted 4 February 2021

Available online 16 March 2021

## KEYWORDS

Athletes;  
Muscular damage;  
Oxidative stress;  
Sprint interval  
training

## Abstract

**Introduction:** High-intensity interval training (HIIT) has previously been reported having the effect of training period on altering oxidant status, muscle damage and performance. The present study was aimed to understand and evaluate the adaptive response of 8 weeks HIIT on muscle damage indices, inflammatory markers, oxidative stress variables and physical fitness parameters.

**Methods:** Forty young endurance male players [i.e., football ( $n=20$ ) and field hockey ( $n=20$ )] were recruited under two groups i.e., control and HIIT. 8 weeks long 3 h/day of sprint-HIIT was intervened for thrice/week. HIIT workouts includes total 4 sets/session (divided into 2 phase  $\times$  2 sets  $\times$  2 min) of all-out sprint workout (at 90–95% of  $HR_{max}$  with work: rest = 1:1). Muscle damage indices (CK and LDH), inflammatory markers (IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$ ), oxidative stress variables (MDA, SOD, GSH and GPx) and physical fitness variables ( $VO_{2max}$ ,  $W_{peak}$  and VJ) were assessed via following standard protocols.

**Result:** The HIIT resulted to significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ) increase BMI (1.1%), LDH (15.0%), CK (14.4%), cortisol (9.4%), IL-6 (15.7%), TNF- $\alpha$  (18.2%), MDA (29.5%),  $VO_{2max}$  (13.6%),  $W_{peak}$  (11.6%), VJ (11.2%) and GPx (0.4%) along with significant ( $p < 0.001$ ) reduction in BF% (7.6%), SOD (11.1%), GSH (10.8%) content of athletes.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [dattagp@yahoo.co.in](mailto:dattagp@yahoo.co.in) (G. Datta).

*Conclusion:* Antioxidant redox imbalance confers inflammatory oxidative stress condition which further leads to muscle damage and that may cause due to HIIT induced temporary hypoxic condition which contrarily induced overtraining effect but with improving performance variables.

© 2021 FUTBOL CLUB BARCELONA and CONSELL CATALÀ DE L'ESPORT. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

High-intensity interval training (HIIT), in a variety of forms, is one of the most effective time-efficient strategies to improve cardiorespiratory and metabolic function and ultimately optimize physical performance.<sup>1</sup> In general, HIIT protocol involves alternate bouts of intense exercise workout (approx 85–90%  $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ ) followed by rest or low-intensity recovery periods and may develop both the aerobic and anaerobic system at the same time.<sup>1–3</sup> In other words, HIIT is believed as an optimal stimulus to push the threshold limit of maximal cardiovascular and peripheral adaptations by spending several minutes in 'red zone' under training in terms of both  $>90\%$   $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$  and  $\text{HR}_{\text{max}}$ .<sup>1</sup> Gibala and McGee (2008) suggested that HIIT is an effective alternative to traditional endurance training to induce similar or even superior changes in physiological, performance and health-related markers in both healthy and diseased populations.<sup>2</sup>

Muscle damage is a high-intensity training or overtraining induced condition where intramuscular proteins appear in the blood due to microtrauma in the muscle cell membrane with a drop in muscle speed, power and flexibility.<sup>4</sup> Creatine kinase (CK) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) are suitable indicators of muscle damage since they start to accumulate in the blood due to the exercise-induced regional necroses in muscle fibers.<sup>5,6</sup> Previously a significant increase in CK and LDH after acute high-intensity training ( $\geq 80\%$   $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ ) was observed where values even remain at an elevated level after 24 h of post-exercise.<sup>4,5</sup>

Interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumour necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ) induce the inflammatory cascade following any high-intensity workout with sympathetic stimulation.<sup>7</sup> IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$  found higher immediately after the exercise<sup>8,9</sup> even 48 h of post-exercise<sup>10</sup> than their resting values. The post-exercise IL-6 increase may induce the onset of muscle soreness especially following an eccentric or high-intensity exercise (HIE).<sup>8,10</sup>

Both short-term and long-term HIIT induced alteration in redox equilibrium has been reported due to continuous production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the skeletal muscle bed and this leads to rising in lipid peroxidation by disrupting the scavenging property of antioxidant enzymes.<sup>11–13</sup> Evidence suggested that exercise may positively or negatively induce the degree of oxidative stress depending on the specificity, load, intensity, and duration of the training.<sup>11–14</sup> On the other hand, Sousa et al. (2016) has reported that regardless of intensity, volume, type of exercise, antioxidant indicators tended to increase with a concomitant decrease in pro-oxidant indicators following training.<sup>15</sup>

The effect of high-intensity interval exercise on muscle damage, oxidative stress and inflammatory markers, have been reported in earlier studies.<sup>4,5,8,12,16</sup> But these studies have documented the single exercise bout induced changes in these parameters. Studies are lacking on the effects of HIIT training period (long-term/longitudinal effect) on these parameters. Therefore, the present study was aimed to investigate the effects of 8 weeks sprint HIIT on muscle damage indices, inflammatory markers, oxidative stress and physical fitness variables in young team-game players. It is hypothesized that HIIT may impose muscle damage, inflammation and oxidative stress condition along with optimizing the athletics performance and may not be beneficial for long-term training adaptations.

## Materials and methods

### Subject selection

Forty young Indian male team-game players [i.e., football (FB,  $n=20$ ) and field hockey (HOC,  $n=20$ )] were recruited as the subject in the present study. They were divided equally into two age-matched groups i.e., (i) control group (mean age =  $16.78 \pm 1.28$  yrs) and HIIT group (mean age =  $16.67 \pm 1.09$  yrs) by using a fixed randomization scheme generated by the Moses–Oakford algorithm. Participants had minimum of 5 years of professional training experience and recruited only after clinical examination. Written informed consent was obtained from each subject and the study protocol conforms to the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, 1975. Ethical clearance (Ref No. IHEC/AB/P82/2019) was obtained from the Institutional Human Ethical Committee (IHEC), Department of Physiology, University of Calcutta.

### Detailed training program

Three hours of HIIT (sprint intervals) practice was intervened for thrice a week in alternate days (i.e., Monday, Wednesday, and Friday) and continued for a total duration of 8 weeks. The formulation and implementation of the HIIT protocol were done by the qualified coaches under the guidance of scientific experts. Daily 3 h HIIT was divided into two sessions [both morning and evening sessions of 90 min each]. Each 90 min training phase started with a warmup session and ended with a cool-down session (each session consisted of 15 min slow running at an intensity of 50% of  $\text{HR}_{\text{max}}$ ). During the HIIT (total 60 min/morning or evening session), subjects were asked to perform 3 all-out HIIT sets. Each small HIIT

**Table 1** Details of training programme including type, sessions, intensity of exercise.

Daily training	HIIT sessions	Training details		Training type	Training intensity	
3 h daily training	Morning session (1:30 h)	HIIT (60 min)	Warmup (15 min)		2 min intense sprint workout	90–95% HRmax
			1st and 2nd week	3 sets × 5 rep.		
			3rd and 4th week	3 sets × 6 rep.		
			5th and 6th week	3 sets × 7 rep.		
			7th and 8th week	3 sets × 8 rep.		
	Cooldown (15 min)		Warmup (15 min)		2 min intense sprint workout	90–95% HRmax
	Evening session (1:30 h)	HIIT (60 min)	1st and 2nd week	3 sets × 5 rep.		
			3rd and 4th week	3 sets × 6 rep.		
			5th and 6th week	3 sets × 7 rep.		
			7th and 8th week	3 sets × 8 rep.		
Cooldown (15 min)						

set consisted of 2 min intense sprint workout (at 90–95% of  $HR_{max}$ ) followed by 1 min active recovery (60–70%  $HR_{max}$ ) which was followed by 1 min of complete rest. Thus, the whole training workload had a work-rest ratio of 1:1. Finally, each 2 min intense sprint workout set was intervened with a brief stride of repetition maximum (rep.) running in increasing manner throughout the 8 weeks i.e., 5 rep. in 1st–2nd week, 6 rep. in 3rd–4th week, 7 rep. in 5–6th week and 8 rep. in 7–8th week.

On the other hand, the control group players continued systematic low volume physical training for 3 h per day which included lower intensity (training load at 60–70%  $HR_{max}$ ) physical activity (i.e., stretching, jogging, low-intensity running etc) for three days a week (on the alternate basis) till the 8 weeks interval to match the HIIT training duration. Lower intensity physical activity was done to avoid the detraining effect. Whereas the game-specific (football and hockey) trainings along with the skill training (i.e., dribbling, passing, hit/shooting, tackles, movement techniques etc) were done by all the players of both HIIT and control groups on alternate days of practice (Table 1).

### Anthropometric variables

Physical characteristics i.e., standing height (cm) and body weight (kg) were measured by using Seca Alpha stadiometer (model – 213, Seca Deutschland, Germany) and Seca Alpha weighing scale (model – 770, Seca Deutschland, Germany) respectively.<sup>17</sup> Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by using standard formula.<sup>17</sup> Body fat % (BF%) was calculated by using the formula of Brozek et al. (1963) after measuring the skinfold thickness at the site of biceps, triceps, subscapular, supra-iliac.<sup>18</sup>

### Biochemical analysis

#### Process of blood collection and plasma sample preparation

Blood samples were collected at 6:00–8:00 AM from the antecubital vein for serum preparation (without anticoagulant) in the pre-prandial state (after 8–10 h of fasting) to avoid possible differences due to diurnal variation. Samples

were then centrifuged (REMI centrifuge, R-8C) at 3000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C to ensure complete separation of serum.<sup>19</sup> Blood sample collections were measured in both pre- and post-training phases under homogeneous laboratory environment (room temperature, 23–25 °C; relative humidity, 50–60%).

#### Assay for skeletal muscle damage indices (CK-MB, LDH and cortisol)

Creatine kinase (CK) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity were estimated from serum sample analyzed via ELISA method and an Advia 1650 analyzer (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Deerfield, IL, USA).<sup>20</sup> Cortisol was measured using the competitive immunoassay kit (Salimetrics, State College, PA, USA). Bound Cortisol Enzyme Conjugate was measured by the reaction of peroxidase enzyme to the substrate tetramethylbenzidine and finally, optical density was measured at 450 nm.<sup>21</sup>

#### Assessment of IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$

Commercially available 96-well ELISA kits were used to measure the protein levels of IL-6 (Diacclone SAS., Besancon Cedex, France) and TNF- $\alpha$  (Diacclone SAS., Besancon Cedex, France) in the serum. The assay was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. The absorbance of IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$  was measured at 620 nm by an Anthos 2020 microplate reader (Biochrom Co., England). Each sample was assayed in duplicate and data were expressed as picograms per millilitre serum.<sup>22</sup>

#### Assay for antioxidant status (MDA, SOD, GSH, GPx)

Malondialdehyde (MDA) was measured by reacting with thiobarbituric acid (TBA) to form TBA-MDA under acidic conditions at an elevated temperature at 532 nm and expressed as  $\mu$ moles of MDA/100 ml serum. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) was estimated by inhibiting the auto-oxidation of pyrogallol at 420 nm. The SOD activity was expressed as U/min/mg protein and 1U of the enzyme is defined as the enzyme activity that inhibits auto-oxidation of pyrogallol by 50%. Reduced glutathione (GSH) content was estimated from a yellow coloured complex after reacting to DTNB with an absorbance maximum at 412 nm and

**Table 2** Comparison of anthropometric variables between pre- and post-HIIT intervention phases.

Parameters	HIIT (n = 20)	Control (n = 20)	Two-way ANOVA (p value)	
<b>Body height (cm)</b>				
Pre-training	169.65 ± 4.17	168.20 ± 5.22	Time × Treatment Treatment Time effect	0.794 0.336 <0.001
Post-training	169.70 ± 4.16	168.24 ± 5.21		
t value	-2.932**	-3.559**		
p value	0.009	0.002		
% change	0.03 (~)	0.02 (~)		
<b>Body weight (kg)</b>				
Pre-training	59.13 ± 4.78	59.19 ± 5.37	Time × Treatment Treatment Time effect	<0.001 0.296 0.041
Post-training	59.80 ± 4.74	60.08 ± 4.73		
t value	-10.642***	-3.192**		
p value	<0.001	0.005		
% change	1.1 (↑)	1.5 (↑)		
<b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>				
Pre-training	20.54 ± 1.43	20.92 ± 1.59	Time × Treatment Treatment Time effect	<0.001 0.059 0.029
Post-training	20.76 ± 1.40	21.23 ± 1.46		
t value	-10.157***	-3.164**		
p value	<0.001	0.005		
% change	1.1 (↑)	1.5 (↑)		
<b>BF%</b>				
Pre-training	12.08 ± 3.04	11.90 ± 2.92	Time × Treatment Treatment Time effect	<0.001 0.900 <0.001
Post-training	11.16 ± 3.17	12.24 ± 2.85		
t value	11.942***	-8.643***		
p value	<0.001	<0.001		
% change	7.6 (↓)	2.9 (↑)		

Values are mean ± SD. \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ , NS = not significant, HIIT = high-intensity interval training, BMI = Body mass index, BF % = body fat percentage.

expressed as mg/100ml serum. The glutathione peroxidase (GPx) enzyme degrades the  $H_2O_2$  in presence of GSH. The remaining GSH was measured via its reaction with DTNB. GPx activity was expressed as  $\mu$ Moles of GSH consumed/min/mg protein. All oxidative stress markers (MDA, SOD, GSH, GPx) were measured via following the standard procedure of Kuyumcu and Ayçan (2019).<sup>19</sup> Protein estimation was done by following the method of Lowry et al., (1951) where Folin-Ciocalteu reagent used to produce a blue-purple coloured complex, with maximum absorption at 660 nm.<sup>23</sup>

### Physical fitness variables

Maximal oxygen consumption ( $VO_{2max}$ ) was measured by using an incremental exercise protocol on a bicycle ergometer (Ergoline, VIA Sprint 150P, Germany). Where athletes were asked to start pedaling without any load for 1st min, and initially 25-W workload was applied for 2 min and then progressively increasing the load by 25 W in every 2 min interval until complete exhaustion.<sup>17</sup> During the incremental test, breath by breath automated pre-calibrated metabolic gas analyzer (MetaMax 3B, CORTEX Biophysik GmbH, Leipzig,

Germany) was used to determine the  $VO_{2max}$  by maintaining the following criteria: (i) a plateau in  $VO_2$  (2 ml/kg/min) despite increasing the workload, (ii) respiratory exchange ratio (RER)  $\geq 1.1$ , (iii) >90% of age-predicted  $HR_{max} \pm 5\%$ , (iv) voluntary exhaustion.<sup>17,24</sup> Relative anaerobic peak power ( $W_{peak}$ ) were predicted from measuring the absolute peak anaerobic power by using the running-based anaerobic sprint test (RAST). Where participants were asked to perform six consecutive sprints at maximal speed over the distance of 35 m with a 10s rest period between each sprint. Timing of each sprint was recorded by using timing gate system.<sup>17</sup> Vertical jump (VJ) was measured to assess the leg explosive strength. Where athletes were asked to jump as high as possible from a standing position beside a wall with holding one hand high above. The distance between the initial and final mark was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm and two attempts were given to each athlete.<sup>17</sup> Polar heart rate monitor (Polar RS800CX, Polar Electro OY, Kempele, Finland) was used to measure the  $HR_{max}$  throughout the whole exercise protocol.<sup>17</sup> All fitness variables were measured in both pre- and post-training phases and tried to take records of all tests in the same day and the same field/laboratory at

room temperature varying from 26 to 30 °C with the relative humidity varying between 50 and 60%.

### Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A 95% confidence interval was considered as the level of significance. Normality of distribution was checked via the Shapiro–Wilk’s test. All the data have been presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Two-tail paired *t*-test was used to determine the difference between the means of pre- and post-intervention data. Two-way/mixed ANOVA was also introduced to check the main effect of group and moment among all the groups at a time. Pearson’s

product-moment correlation, simple regression analysis and analysis of % change were also performed for better interpretation of the data.

### Results

Effect of HIIT induced changes on anthropometric parameters have been represented in Table 2. Bodyweight, BMI, and BF% were significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ) decreased in post-training HIIT group when compared with pre-training data. On the other hand, body weight, BMI, and BF% were significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ) increased in the control group after the intervention period. Body height increased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) in post-intervention data in both the groups. Two-way ANOVA depicted significant result in both the time  $\times$  treatment

**Table 3** Comparison of skeletal muscle damage indices, inflammatory markers, and cortisol level between pre- and post-HIIT intervention phases.

Parameters	HIIT (n = 20)	Control (n = 20)	Two-way ANOVA (p value)	
<b>LDH (U/L)</b>				
Pre-training	228.35 $\pm$ 24.51	231.10 $\pm$ 27.27	Time $\times$ Treatment	<0.001
Post-training	262.65 $\pm$ 25.90	234.50 $\pm$ 27.22	Treatment	0.133
<i>t</i> value	–24.778***	–20.168***	Time	<0.001
			effect	
<i>p</i> value	<0.001	<0.001		
% change	15.0	1.5		
<b>CK-MB (U/L)</b>				
Pre-training	27.05 $\pm$ 6.59	27.65 $\pm$ 5.97	Time $\times$ Treatment	<0.001
Post-training	30.95 $\pm$ 6.15	27.95 $\pm$ 5.89	Treatment	0.540
<i>t</i> value	–22.132***	–1.674	Time	<0.001
		(NS)	effect	
<i>p</i> value	<0.001	0.110		
% change	14.4	1.1		
<b>Cortisol (<math>\mu</math>g/dl)</b>				
Pre-training	8.36 $\pm$ 1.73	8.49 $\pm$ 1.73	Time $\times$ Treatment	<0.001
Post-training	9.15 $\pm$ 1.71	8.55 $\pm$ 1.67	Treatment	0.659
<i>t</i> value	–10.349***	–0.903	Time	<0.001
		(NS)	effect	
<i>p</i> value	<0.001	0.378		
% change	9.4	0.7		
<b>IL-6 (pg/ml)</b>				
Pre-training	1.98 $\pm$ 0.29	1.81 $\pm$ 0.31	Time $\times$ Treatment	<0.001
Post-training	2.29 $\pm$ 0.39	1.82 $\pm$ 0.27	Treatment	0.002
<i>t</i> value	–4.992***	–0.165	Time	<0.001
		(NS)	effect	
<i>p</i> value	<0.001	0.870		
% change	15.7	0.6		
<b>TNF-<math>\alpha</math> (pg/ml)</b>				
Pre-training	2.97 $\pm$ 0.40	2.81 $\pm$ 0.38	Time $\times$ Treatment	<0.001
Post-training	3.51 $\pm$ 0.41	2.83 $\pm$ 0.35	Treatment	0.001
<i>t</i> value	–63.968***	–1.742	Time	<0.001
		(NS)	effect	
<i>p</i> value	<0.001	0.098		
% change	18.2	1.0		

Values are mean  $\pm$  SD, \* =  $p < 0.05$ , \*\* =  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* =  $p < 0.001$ , NS = not significant, HIIT = high-intensity interval training, LDH = lactate dehydrogenase, CK-MB = creatine kinase (MB isoenzyme), IL-6 = interleukin-6, TNF- $\alpha$  = tumor necrosis factor (alpha).

effect and time only effect in body weight, BMI and BF%. Additionally, body height revealed the time only effect in a significant manner.

Effect of HIIT induced changes on skeletal muscle damage indices and inflammatory markers have been represented in Table 3. Significant increase in LDH, CK-MB, cortisol, IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$  were observed in following HIIT in the experimental group. On the other hand, only LDH was found to be significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ) increased in the control group after the intervention period. Two-way ANOVA depicted significant result in both the time  $\times$  treatment effect and time only effect in LDH, CK-MB, cortisol, IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$ . Additionally, significant treatment only effect was observed in IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$ .

Effects of HIIT induced changes on oxidative stress markers have been represented in Table 4. Significant increase in MDA, GPx and decrease in SOD, GR were observed following HIIT in the experimental group. Control group showed a significant ( $p < 0.001$ ) increase in MDA following the intervention period. Two-way ANOVA depicted significant result in all three time  $\times$  treatment effect, treatment only effect and time only effect in MDA, SOD and GSH.

Effects of HIIT induced changes on some selected physical fitness parameters have been represented in Table 5.

Significant ( $p < 0.001$ ) increase in  $VO_{2max}$ ,  $W_{peak}$  and VJ were observed following training in post-training HIIT group in comparison to their respective pre-training values. Two-way ANOVA depicted significant result in both time  $\times$  treatment effect and time only effect in  $VO_{2max}$ ,  $W_{peak}$  and VJ. Additionally, significant treatment only effect was observed in  $VO_{2max}$  only.

The correlation coefficient of muscle damage indices, inflammatory variables and oxidative stress markers with physical fitness variables has been presented in Table 6.  $VO_{2max}$  showed significant ( $p < 0.01$ ) positive correlation with LDH, IL-6, MDA and significant negative correlation with GSH. VJ had significant ( $p < 0.01$ ) positive correlation with LDH, TNF- $\alpha$ , MDA, GPx ( $p < 0.05$ ) and significant negative correlation with GSH. Whereas  $W_{peak}$  was found to be the less correlated variables among all physical fitness variables and only found to be negatively and significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) correlated with GSH.

The regression equations for prediction of  $VO_{2max}$  and  $W_{peak}$  from LDH, CK-MB and cortisol have been presented in Table 7. First regression prediction model represents Durbin-Watson = 1.692, Std. Residual = -1.765/1.686,  $F = 3.217$ , Sig = 0.008 (Dependent variable -  $VO_{2max}$ ; Predictors - GPx, CK, Cortisol, IL-6, Sod, GSH, LDH, TNF and MDA). Whereas

**Table 4** Comparison of oxidative stress markers between pre- and post-HIIT intervention phases.

Parameters	HIIT (n = 20)	Control (n = 20)	Two-way ANOVA (p value)	
<b>MDA (<math>\mu</math>moles/ 100 ml serum)</b>				
Pre-training	30.43 $\pm$ 3.07	28.42 $\pm$ 3.40	Time $\times$ Treatment	<0.001
Post-training	39.42 $\pm$ 3.35	29.55 $\pm$ 3.08	Treatment	<0.001
t value	-27.593***	-6.276***	Time	<0.001
			effect	
p value	<0.001	<0.001		
% change	29.5	4.0		
<b>SOD (U/min/mg protein)</b>				
Pre-training	0.09 $\pm$ 0.02	0.07 $\pm$ 0.01	Time $\times$ Treatment	<0.001
Post-training	0.08 $\pm$ 0.01	0.07 $\pm$ 0.01	Treatment	0.005
t value	4.445***	-0.174	Time	<0.001
		(NS)	effect	
p value	<0.001	0.864		
% change	11.1	0.0		
<b>GSH (mg/ 100 ml serum)</b>				
Pre-training	46.31 $\pm$ 2.65	46.61 $\pm$ 1.74	Time $\times$ Treatment	<0.001
Post-training	41.32 $\pm$ 2.54	46.98 $\pm$ 1.27	Treatment	<0.001
t value	157.917***	-1.368	Time	<0.001
		(NS)	effect	
p value	<0.001	0.187		
% change	10.8	0.8		
<b>GPx (<math>\mu</math>mol/min/mg protein)</b>				
Pre-training	11.39 $\pm$ 1.57	11.34 $\pm$ 1.57	Time $\times$ Treatment	0.331
Post-training	11.44 $\pm$ 1.51	11.36 $\pm$ 1.49	Treatment	0.892
t value	-2.509*	-0.674	Time	0.047
		(NS)	effect	
p value	0.021	0.509		
% change	0.4	0.2		

Values are mean  $\pm$  SD, \* =  $p < 0.05$ , \*\* =  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* =  $p < 0.001$ , NS = not significant, HIIT = high-intensity interval training, MDA = malondialdehyde, SOD = superoxide dismutase, GSH = glutathione, GPx = glutathione peroxidase.

**Table 5** Comparison of selective physical fitness variables between pre- and post-HIIT intervention phase.

Parameters	HIIT (n = 20)	Control (n = 20)	Two-way ANOVA (p value)	
<b>VO<sub>2max</sub> (ml/kg/min)</b>				
Pre-training	51.23 ± 4.78	50.34 ± 3.66	Time × Treatment	<0.001
Post-training	58.19 ± 4.64	50.95 ± 3.51	Treatment	0.004
t value	-31.830***	-5.719***	Time	<0.001
			effect	
p value	<0.001	<0.001		
% change	13.6 (↑)	1.2 (~)		
<b>W<sub>peak</sub> (watt/kg)</b>				
Pre-training	7.60 ± 1.35	7.51 ± 1.05	Time × Treatment	<0.001
Post-training	8.48 ± 1.35	7.44 ± 0.95	Treatment	0.137
t value	-16.474***	1.313(NS)	Time	<0.001
			effect	
p value	<0.001	0.205		
% change	11.6 (↑)	0.9 (↓)		
<b>VJ (cm)</b>				
Pre-training	46.55 ± 3.95	46.9 ± 4.69	Time × Treatment	<0.001
Post-training	51.75 ± 3.85	47.0 ± 4.09	Treatment	0.104
t value	-27.386***	-0.433(NS)	Time	<0.001
			effect	
p value	<0.001	0.670		
% change	11.2 (↑)	0.2 (~)		

Values are mean ± SD, \* =  $p < 0.05$ , \*\* =  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* =  $p < 0.001$ , NS = not significant, HIIT = high-intensity interval training, W<sub>peak</sub> = relative anaerobic peak power output, VJ = vertical jump.

**Table 6** Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient of muscle damage indices, inflammatory markers, and oxidative stress markers with physical fitness variables in HIIT group players.

Variables	VO <sub>2max</sub>	W <sub>peak</sub>	VJ
LDH	0.417**	0.282	0.473**
CK-MB	0.291	-0.077	0.064
Cortisol	0.047	0.017	0.231
IL-6	0.493**	0.179	0.307
TNF-α	0.301	0.191	0.595**
MDA	0.584**	0.294	0.429**
SOD	0.182	0.198	0.048
GSH	-0.544**	-0.359*	-0.502**
GPx	-0.004	-0.096	0.369*

Expressed values are correlation coefficient, \* =  $p < 0.05$ , \*\* =  $p < 0.01$ ; Abbreviations: LDH = lactate dehydrogenase, CK-MB = creatine kinase (MB isoenzyme), IL-6 = interleukin-6, TNF-α = tumor necrosis factor (alpha), MDA = malondialdehyde, SOD = superoxide dismutase, GSH = glutathione, GPx = glutathione peroxidase.

the second model represents the Durbin-Watson = 1.945, Std. Residual = -1.676/1.876,  $F = 1.683$ , Sig = 1.37 (Dependent variable - W<sub>peak</sub>; Predictors - GPx, CK, Cortisol, IL-6, Sod, GSH, LDH, TNF and MDA).

## Discussion

The main aim of the present study was to find the effect of HIIT induced alterations in muscle damage indices, inflammatory markers, antioxidant status and physical fitness variables of young team-game athletes. The present investigation revealed that 8 weeks HIIT can signifi-

cantly ( $p < 0.001$ ) increase the muscle damage indices [LDH (15.0%), CK (14.4%)], cortisol (9.4%), inflammatory markers [IL-6 (15.7%), TNF-α (18.2%)]; antioxidant indicators [MDA (29.5%), GPx (0.4%)]; and physical fitness variables [VO<sub>2max</sub> (13.6%), W<sub>peak</sub> (11.6%), VJ (11.2%)] with significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ) decrease the BF% (7.6%) and plasma concentration of SOD (11.1%), GSH (10.8%) among young players.

The present HIIT intervention improved the body composition by significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ) reducing BF% (7.6%) and increasing BMI (1.1%). This observation agrees with Musa et al. (2009) where they reported 1.3% and 15.8% reduction in both body mass and BF% respectively after 8 weeks of

**Table 7** Prediction of regression coefficient based on the linear regression model of young male team-game players.

Variables	VO <sub>2max</sub>					W <sub>peak</sub>				
	R <sup>2</sup>	Adj R <sup>2</sup>	β	t value	Sig	R <sup>2</sup>	Adj R <sup>2</sup>	β	t value	Sig
	0.491	0.338				0.336	0.136			
LDH			0.205	1.054	0.300			0.469	2.107	0.044
CK-MB			0.055	0.332	0.743			-0.452	-2.395	0.023
Cortisol			-0.056	-0.411	0.684			-0.074	-0.477	0.637
IL-6			-0.151	-0.355	0.725			-0.396	-0.815	0.421
TNF-α			-0.546	-1.874	0.071			-0.314	-0.943	0.353
MDA			0.642	1.223	0.231			0.493	0.822	0.417
SOD			0.006	0.045	0.964			0.109	0.672	0.507
GSH			-0.468	-1.970	0.058			-0.355	-1.307	0.201
GPx			0.080	0.422	0.676			-0.071	-0.330	0.744

Abbreviations: Adj R<sup>2</sup> = adjusted R<sup>2</sup>, Sig = significance, W<sub>peak</sub> = relative anaerobic peak power, LDH = lactate dehydrogenase, CK-MB = creatine kinase (MB isoenzyme), IL-6 = interleukin-6, TNF-α = tumor necrosis factor (alpha), MDA = malondialdehyde, SOD = superoxide dismutase, GSH = glutathione, GPx = glutathione peroxidase.

HIIT (90% HR<sub>max</sub>).<sup>25</sup> High-intensity training generally hypothesized a reduction in body weight but instead of that, the present study depicted a significant increase in both weight and BMI which might due to the muscular hypertrophy.<sup>26</sup> Previous finding suggested that HIIT increased the rate of fat oxidation due to increased catecholamine level which controls over the β-adrenergic receptors in adipose tissue and increased fatty acid-binding protein (FABP<sub>pm</sub>) content in skeletal muscle.<sup>27</sup> On the other hand, the increase in the skeletal muscle fat oxidation likely resulted from several adaptations, including an increase in mitochondrial volume and altering several regulatory steps, e.g., adipose tissue lipolysis of TG to fatty acids (FA), transport of FA into the cell, intramuscular lipolysis of TG to FA and ultimately FA into the mitochondria.<sup>28-30</sup>

The LDH and CK are well known potential markers used for assessing both cardio-metabolic responses and the degree of skeletal muscle damage.<sup>4,5</sup> Present study depicted a significant ( $p < 0.001$ ) rise in LDH (15.0%) and CK-MB (14.4%) which corroborates with Callegari et al. (2017) and Tesema et al. (2019), where CK and LDH both reported ~100–200-fold increase after moderate/acute (60–70% HR<sub>max</sub> for 45 min) and high intensity/chronic (70–80% HR<sub>max</sub> for 30 min) training which gradually rises till 24h post-exercise.<sup>4,5</sup> Wiewelthove et al. (2016) have reported that short intervals of sprint protocols under HIIT induce highest muscle damage and muscle soreness in comparison to the sub-maximal intensity of longer intervals.<sup>31</sup> All these muscle damages may result due to the stretch-shortening cycle mechanism of muscle contraction during short duration high-intensity exercise.<sup>4,5</sup> The development of stress-tension characteristics in skeletal muscle fibres leads to severe microdamage in the sarcolemma and finally cause the leakage of CK and LDH in bloodstream as a damage indicator.<sup>6</sup> Increase in muscle damage markers may also result from the immunological and hormonal changes due to stress-tension association with high-intensity training.<sup>32</sup> Interestingly muscle damage indices (i.e., LDH, CK) were only significantly increased against the short-period of exhaustive or HIE bouts and not against prolonged period workouts or low-intensity exercises.<sup>4,5</sup>

IL-6 and TNF-α are major markers to measure and limit pro-inflammatory cellular cascade to maintain homeostasis. They induce the inflammatory cascade following any HIE causing sympathetic stimulation.<sup>7</sup> Present study depicted significant ( $p < 0.001$ ) increase in both IL-6 (15.7%) and TNF-α (18.2%) which corroborates with studies of Zebrowska et al. (2019) and Gerosa-Neto et al. (2016).<sup>8,9</sup> Where Zebrowska et al. (2019) have reported that 3 weeks HIIT (5 min 30W cycling) can elevate both the resting and maximum levels of IL-6 (approx. 46% and 50%) and TNF-α (approx. 47% and 15%) respectively.<sup>8</sup> Gerosa-Neto et al. (2016) have reported 16 weeks HIIT (90% HR<sub>max</sub>) induced a significant rise in TNF-α (104%).<sup>9</sup> The HIIT induced temporary hypoxic conditions may cause to persuade an inflammatory response that increases IL-6 and TNF-α, mediated by leukocytes and manifested by elevated concentrations of proinflammatory cytokines.<sup>8,9</sup> Contrarily, Brown et al. (2018) suggested that even after 48 h of exercise the level of IL-6 and TNF-α were still higher than the resting condition.<sup>10</sup> Collectively past studies have suggested that the post-exercise IL-6 increase in skeletal muscle may induce the onset of muscle soreness, reduced glycogen availability and alteration in calcium and stress hormone secretion and all those effects were much less in concentric contractions in comparison to eccentric exercises.<sup>8,10</sup> However, a contradictory finding reported that TNF-α returned to baseline level faster than other cytokines like IL-6.<sup>33</sup>

Previously acute HIE of both short-term and long-term exercise was reported to create a redox imbalance and an increase in oxidative stress markers (i.e., SOD, catalase, sulfhydryl group).<sup>11-13</sup> In the present study, HIIT induced a significant increase in MDA (29.5%,  $p < 0.001$ ), GPx (0.5%,  $p < 0.05$ ) and a significant decrease in SOD (11.1%,  $p < 0.001$ ), GSH (10.8%,  $p < 0.001$ ) were corroborated with studies of Miyazaki et al. (2001), Bogdanis et al. (2013) and Ugras (2013).<sup>13,16,34</sup> Bogdanis et al. (2013) has reported 3 weeks HIIT (high-intensity cycling) induced a significant increase in oxidative stress markers i.e., protein carbonyl (PC), TBARS, GPx, catalase, total antioxidant capacity (TAC) and even reported to last for 24–48h after exercise.<sup>16</sup> Similarly, Miyazaki et al. (2001) and Ugras (2013) have



reported a significant increase only in MDA (approx. 40%), a marker for lipid peroxidation against longitudinal HIIT period.<sup>13,34</sup> Although Azizbeigi et al. (2014) has reported training induced significant increase only against SOD and GPx but not in the MDA level.<sup>35</sup> Excessive HIE or overtraining leads to a temporary hypoxic condition which confers the overproduction of ROS, creates oxidative stress and challenges redox equilibrium which further disrupts the cellular homeostasis and confers the disturbance in scavenging property of antioxidant enzymes to lead the rise in lipid peroxidation.<sup>13,36</sup> Several studies have reported that even a single bout of intense exercise can alter the antioxidant equilibrium and increase MDA level.<sup>34,37</sup> Antioxidant adaptations in the present study may be due to HIIT induced excess ROS generation within mitochondria which diffuse into the cytoplasm and activate AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) that transcriptionally co-activates the PGC-1  $\alpha$  and mitochondrial biogenesis via activating Peroxisome Proliferator Activated Receptor-gamma (PPAR $\gamma$ ) and PPAR $\gamma$  Coactivator-1 $\alpha$  (PGC-1  $\alpha$ ).<sup>38</sup> Alternatively, ROS may act as a key mediator for exercise-induced cytokine response through the stimulation of inflammation regulating NF- $\kappa$ B and data proved the possible interaction between increased lipid peroxidation and cytokine secretion.<sup>10</sup> Although there are studies like Rahnama et al. (2007) and Vezzoli et al. (2014) where slight or no significant changes was observed against high-intensity physical training-induced oxidative stress marker variables.<sup>39,40</sup> Additionally, Sousa et al. (2016) has reported by summarizing 38 studies that pro-oxidants i.e., TBARS/MDA, PC, myeloperoxidase, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> etc tended to decrease significantly in association with an increase in SOD, GPx, catalase, TAC and GSH as a physical training-induced effect.<sup>15</sup>

The present study depicted significant ( $p < 0.001$ ) rise in VO<sub>2max</sub> (13.6%) and W<sub>peak</sub> (11.6%) which corroborates with studies of Fereshtian et al. (2017), Macpherson et al. (2011) and Zychowska et al. (2017) with increase in 7.6%, 11.5% of VO<sub>2max</sub> and 2–4% (approx.) change in anaerobic power output respectively.<sup>41–43</sup> The significant increase in VO<sub>2peak</sub> was mainly attributed to the higher mitochondrial enzyme activities i.e., citrate synthase (CS); cytochrome-c oxidase (COX); COX-II, IV protein content; and  $\beta$ -hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase ( $\beta$ -HAD).<sup>2,28,45</sup> Other studies hypothesized that improvement in stroke volume and maximal cardiac output (Q<sub>max</sub>) following HIIT were 15–35% responsible for the improvement in VO<sub>2max</sub>.<sup>2,42</sup> Considerably, the improved W<sub>peak</sub> correspond to an improved anaerobic capacity index which might have happened due to the improved lactate tolerance, developed sprinting ability within the anaerobic zone with higher glycolytic activity level.<sup>17,43</sup> It has been reported that HIIT increased glycolytic enzymatic activities (e.g., hexokinase, glycogen phosphorylase, phosphofructokinase), muscle buffering capacity and ionic adaptations including increased Na<sup>+</sup>-K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase content to compensate the enlarged energy demand during an anaerobic HIIT.<sup>45,46</sup> Alternatively, HIIT induced improvement in anaerobic capacity may be due to the adaptations in skeletal muscles (reduced phosphocreatine degradation, enhanced glycogen content) along with increased type IIA ratio and reduced IIB ratio.<sup>44,45</sup> Present studied increase in VJ (11.2%) corroborates with Ferrete et al. (2014), where similar high-intensity training induced significant increase (~6.7%) in jumping capacity has

been reported which further suggests the possible transfer from the gain in the leg muscular power into the sprint performance.<sup>47</sup> Intense training-induced enhancement in jumping capacity may be due to the improved muscular hypertrophy and neuro-muscular coordination.<sup>47</sup>

In the present study, two separate linear regression models were computed for predicting VO<sub>2max</sub> and W<sub>peak</sub> where the selected predictors were GPx, CK-MB, Cortisol, IL-6, SOD, GSH, LDH, TNF- $\alpha$  and MDA. Out of all these predictor variables, only LDH ( $p = 0.044$ ) and CK-MB ( $p = 0.023$ ) were found to be the principal predicting variables for W<sub>peak</sub> but the regression model itself was insignificant ( $F = 1.683$ , Sig = 1.37). On the other hand, the regression model significantly predicted VO<sub>2max</sub> ( $F = 3.217$ , Sig = 0.008) but there was no such variable which can alone significantly predict VO<sub>2max</sub>. However, Pearson's product-moment correlation identified GSH as one such variable which had significant negative ( $p < 0.01$ ) correlation with VO<sub>2max</sub> and VJ. VO<sub>2max</sub> showed a significant positive correlation with LDH, IL-6, MDA and VJ showed significant positive correlation with LDH, TNF- $\alpha$ , MDA, GPx. It may be hypothesized that VO<sub>2max</sub> and VJ were the most important dependent variables on LDH, IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , MDA, GSH and GPx.

It is the limitation of the present study that it was unable to predict the gender variation on the studied parameters as it did not include female participants. Another shortcoming of the is that it only focused on a particular type of sprint HIIT (2 min intense sprinting at 90–95% of HR<sub>max</sub>, work: rest = 1:1) although plenty of training variations are there in terms of training intensities. Therefore, the study is unable to suggest the intensity wise effects of HIIT on the studied parameters.

## Conclusion

The present sprint HIIT protocol was found to induce an overall significant rise in oxidative stress level (MDA, GPx, SOD, GSH); inflammatory variables (IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ ); and muscle damage profile (LDH, CK-MB, cortisol) with concomitant improvements in fitness variables (VO<sub>2max</sub>, W<sub>peak</sub>, VJ). The study concludes that the inflammatory and oxidative stress condition may finally lead to muscle damage, which all may occur due to the development of temporary hypoxic condition resulting from intense/exhaustive workout intervened with insufficient intervals which will contrarily induce the overtraining effect. Correlation and regression study predicts that muscle damage, inflammatory and antioxidant variables can be used to measure and predict the limitation of muscular strengths, endurance capacity and anaerobic power. Although more significant prediction can be done against the endurance capacity in comparison to anaerobic power. However, muscle damage indices (LDH and CK) are the best factors to predict anaerobic power than others. The improved fitness variables surely revealed the effectiveness of HIIT to optimize performance specially in pre-competitive phase. But for long-term use, this HIIT protocol is not recommended in general as it develops ROS generation, alters redox equilibrium and influences variation in enzyme levels, inflammatory markers which simultaneously leads to an oxidative stress condition, inflammation and muscle damage and that may cause muscle soreness causing a burden

on athlete's health. Although HIIT must be done under the supervision of scientific experts/trained coaches who can modify or modulate training regimen as per the athlete's individual physical and physiological capacity and response to the training. The association of HIIT with some antioxidant (vitamins, natural products etc.) supplements must be studied in future to reveal whether HIIT induced adverse health effects can be prevented or not.

## Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

## Conflict of interest

All authors have agreed to publish the present article and declare no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgements

Authors are grateful to all players for their voluntary and valuable participation. Authors are also grateful to Rammo-han College, Kolkata, and Sports Authority of India, NSEC, Kolkata for their all kind support for completion of the study.

## References

- Buchheit M, Laursen PB. High-intensity interval training, solutions to the programming puzzle. Part I: Cardio-pulmonary emphasis. *Sports Med.* 2013;43:313–38, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40279-013-0029-x>.
- Gibala MJ, McGee SL. Metabolic adaptations to short-term high intensity interval training: a little pain for a lot of gain? *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 2008;36:58–63.
- Laursen PB, Jenkins DG. The scientific basis for high-intensity interval training: optimising training programmes and maximising performance in highly trained endurance athletes. *Sports Med.* 2002;32:53–73, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200232010-00003>.
- Callegari GA, Novaes JS, Neto GR, Dias I, Garrido ND, Dani C. Creatine kinase and lactate dehydrogenase responses after different resistance and aerobic exercise protocols. *J Hum Kinet.* 2017;58:65–72, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/hukin-2017-0071>.
- Tesema G, George M, Mondal S, et al. Effects of one-week different intensity endurance exercise on cardiorespiratory and cardiometabolic markers in junior young athletes. *BMJ Open Sport Exer Med.* 2019;5:e000644, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2019-000644>.
- Paschalis V, Koutedakis Y, Jamurtas AZ, Mougios V, Baltzopoulos V. Equal volumes of high and low intensity of eccentric exercise in relation to muscle damage and performance. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2005;19:184–8.
- Amanollahi N, Tartibian B, Gholami F. High intensity interval training and honey consumption on some inflammatory inducers in sedentary subjects. *Int J Health Stud.* 2020;6:1–5, <http://dx.doi.org/10.22100/ijhs.v6i2.725>.
- Zebrowska A, Jastrzebski D, Sadowska-Krepa E, Sikora M, Giulio CD. Comparison of the effectiveness of high-intensity interval training in hypoxia and normoxia in healthy male volunteers: a pilot study. *BioMed Res Int.* 2019, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2019/7315714>. Article ID 7315714.
- Gerosa-Neto J, Antunes BM, Campos EZ, Rodrigues J, Ferrari GD, Rosa Neto JC, et al. Impact of long-term high-intensity interval and moderate intensity continuous training on subclinical inflammation in overweight/obese adults. *J Exerc Rehabil.* 2016;12:575–80, <http://dx.doi.org/10.12965/jer.1632770.385>.
- Brown M, McClean CM, Davison GW, Brown JCW, Murphy MH. The acute effects of walking exercise intensity on systematic cytokines and oxidative stress. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2018;118:2111–20, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00421-018-3930-z>.
- De Araujo GG, Papoti M, dos Reis IGM, de Mello MAR, Gobatto CA. Short- and long-term effects of high-intensity interval training on hormones, metabolites, antioxidant system, glycogen concentration and aerobic performance adaptations in rats. *Front Physiol.* 2016;7:505, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2016.00505>.
- Jamurtas AZ, Fatouras IG, Deli CK, Georgakouli K, Poullos A, Draganidis D, et al. The effects of acute low-volume HIIT and aerobic exercise on leukocyte count and redox status. *J Sports Sci Med.* 2018;17:501–8.
- Ugras AF. Effect of high intensity interval training on elite athlete's antioxidant status. *Sci Sports.* 2013;28:253–9, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scispo.2012.04.009>.
- Vecchio M, Curro M, Trimarchi F, Naccari S, Caccamo D, Ientile R, Barreca D, Di Mauro D. The oxidative stress response in elite water polo players: effects of genetic background. *BioMed Res Int.* 2017, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2017/7019694>. Article ID: 7019694.
- Sousa CV, Sales MM, Rosa TS, Lewis JE, Andrade RV, Simões HG. The antioxidant effect of exercise: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med.* 2016, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0566-1>.
- Bogdanis GC, Stavrinou P, Fatouros IG, Philippou A, Chatzinikolaou A, Dragnidis D, et al. Short-term high-intensity interval exercise training attenuates oxidative stress response and improves antioxidant status in healthy humans. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2013;61:171–7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2013.05.046>.
- Sarkar S, Chatterjee S, Dey SK. Effect of 8 weeks high intensity interval training on maximum oxygen uptake capacity and related cardio-respiratory parameters in anaerobic threshold level of Indian male field hockey players. *Eur J Phys Edu Sport Sci.* 2019;5:106–16, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.821824>.
- Brozek J, Grande F, Anderson JT, Keys A. Densitometric analysis of body composition: revision of some quantitative assumptions. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 1963;110:113–40.
- Kuyumcu F, Aycan A. Evaluation of oxidative stress levels and antioxidant enzyme activities in burst fractures. *Med Sci Monit.* 2018;24:225–34, <http://dx.doi.org/10.12659/MSM.908312>.
- Santos Silva Lopes J, Monteiro de Magalhães Neto A, Oliveira Gonçalves LC, Lourenço Alves PR, Castilho de Almeida A, Marlise Balbinotti Andrade C. Kinetics of muscle damage biomarkers at moments subsequent to a fight in Brazilian Jiu-Jitsu practice by disabled athletes. *Front Physiol.* 2019;10:1055, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.01055>.
- Ohashi J, Katsura T. The effects of coaching on salivary cortisol stress marker in mothers with young children, a randomized controlled trial. *J Rural Med.* 2015;10:20–8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2185/jrm.2891>.
- Sarir H, Emdadifard G, Farhangfar H, TaheriChadorneshin H. Effect of vitamin E succinate on inflammatory cytokines induced by high-intensity interval training. *J Res Med Sci.* 2015;20:1177–81, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/1735-1995.172986>.
- Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ. Protein measurement with the folin phenol reagent. *J Biol Chem.* 1951;193:265.

24. Sheykhlovand M, Gharaat M, Khalili E, Agha-Alinejad H. The effect of high-intensity interval training on ventilatory threshold and aerobic power in well-trained canoe polo athletes. *Sci Sports*. 2016;1-7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scispo.2016.02.007>.
25. Musa D, Adeniran S, Dikko A, Sayers S. The effect of a high-intensity interval training program on high-density lipoprotein cholesterol in young men. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2009;23:587-92.
26. Boutcher SH. High-intensity intermittent exercise and fat loss. *J Obes*. 2011, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2011/868305>. Article ID: 868305.
27. Talanian JL, Galloway SD, Heigenhauser GJ, Bonen A, Spriet LL. Two weeks of high-intensity aerobic interval training increases the capacity for fat oxidation during exercise in women. *J Appl Physiol*. 2007;102:1439-47.
28. Egan B, Zierath JR. Exercise metabolism and the molecular regulation of skeletal muscle adaptation. *Cell Met*. 2013;17:162-84, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2012.12.012>.
29. Watt MJ, Heigenhauser GJF, Spriet LL. Effects of dynamic exercise intensity on the activation of hormone-sensitive lipase in human skeletal muscle. *J Physiol*. 2003;547:301-8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2002.034595>.
30. Bonen A, Luiken JJ, Arumugam Y, Glatz JF, Tandon NN. Acute regulation of fatty acid uptake involves the cellular redistribution of fatty acid translocase. *J Biol Chem*. 2000;275:14501-8.
31. Wiewelhove T, Fernandez JF, Raeder C, Kappenstein J, Meyer T, Kellmann M, et al. Acute responses and muscle damage in different high-intensity interval running protocols. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. 2016;56:606-15.
32. Walsh NP, Gleeson M, Shephard RJ, Gleeson M, Woods JA, Bishop N, et al. Position statement part one: immune function and exercise. *Exer Immunol Rev*. 2011;17:6-63.
33. Harnish CR, Sabo RT. Comparison of two different sprint interval training work-to-rest ratios on acute inflammatory responses. *Sports Med*. 2016;2:2, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40798-016-0044-1>.
34. Miyazaki H, Oh-ishi S, Ookawara T, Kizaki T, Toshinai K, Ha S, et al. Srenuous endurance training in humans reduce oxidative stress following exhausting exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2001;84:1-6.
35. Azizbeigi K, Stannard SR, Atashak S, Haghighi MM. Antioxidant enzymes and oxidative stress adaptation to exercise training: comparison of endurance, resistance and concurrent training in untrained males. *J Exerc Sci Fitness*. 2014;12:1-6, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jesf.2013.12.001>.
36. Metin G, Atukeren P, Alturfan AA, Gülyasar T, Kaya M, Gumustas MK. Lipid peroxidation, erythrocyte superoxide-dismutase activity and trace metals in young male footballers. *Yonsei Med J*. 2003;44:979-86.
37. Koska J, Blazicek P, Marko M, Grna JD, Kvetnansky R, Oigas M. Insulin, catecholamines glucose and antioxidant enzymes in oxidative damage during different loads in healthy humans. *Physiol Res*. 2000;49 Suppl. 19:S95-100.
38. Webb R, Hughes MG, Thomas AW, Morris K. The ability of exercise-associated oxidative stress to trigger redox-sensitive signaling responses. *Antioxidants*. 2017;6:1-21, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/antiox6030063>.
39. Rahnama N, Gaeini AA, Hamedinia M. Oxidative stress responses in physical education students during 8 weeks aerobic training. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. 2007;47:119-23.
40. Vezzoli A, Pugliese L, Marzorati M, Serpiello FR, La Torre A, et al. Time-course changes of oxidative stress response to high-intensity discontinuous training versus moderate-intensity continuous training in masters runners. *PLOS ONE*. 2014;9:e87506, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0087506>.
41. Fereshtian S, Sheykhlovand M, Forbes S, Agha-Alinejad H, Gharaat M. Physiological and performance responses to high-intensity interval raining in elite female inline speed skaters. *Apunts de l'Esport*. 2017;52:131-8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apunts.2017.06.003>.
42. Macpherson RE, Hazell TJ, Olver TD, Paterson DH, Lemon PW. Run sprint interval training improves aerobic performance but not maximal cardiac output. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2011;43:115-22, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181e5eacd>.
43. Zychowska M, Kochanowicz A, Kochanowicz K, Mieszkowski J, Niespodzinski B, Sawczyn S. Effect of lower and upper body high intensity training on genes associated with cellular response. *BioMed Res Int*. 2017, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2017/2768546>. Article ID 2768546.
44. Burgomaster KA, Howarth KR, Phillips SM, Rakobowchuk M, Macdonald MJ, McGee SL, et al. Similar metabolic adaptations during exercise after low volume sprint interval and traditional endurance training in humans. *J Physiol*. 2008;586:151-60, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2007.142109>.
45. Ross A, Leveritt M. Long-term metabolic and skeletal muscle adaptations to short-sprint training: implications for sprint training and tapering. *Sports Med*. 2001;31:1063-82.
46. Kubukeli ZN, Noakes TD, Dennis SC. Training techniques to improve endurance exercise performances. *Sports Med*. 2002;32:489-509.
47. Ferrete C, Requena B, Suarez-Arrones L, Villarreal ES. Effect of strength and high-intensity training on jumping, sprinting, and intermittent endurance performance in prepubertal soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2014;28:413-22.