



apunts

SPORTS MEDICINE

www.apunts.org


REVIEW

Elite soccer athlete's sleep: A literature review

Aldo C. Silva^{a,*}, Adriana S. Amaral^b, Renato Guerreiro^b, Andressa Silva^b,
Marco T. deMello^b, Sergio G. daSilva^c, Leandro Rechenchosky^d, Wilson Rinaldi^d

^a Centro Universitário Claretiano, rua dos Aimorés, 1583, Lourdes, CEP: 30140-071 Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

^b Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil.

^c Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, Brazil

^d Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Maringá, Brazil

Received 7 September 2021; accepted 22 September 2021

Available online 30 October 2021

KEYWORDS

Recovery;
Performance;
Football

Abstract Sleep is important for athletes to recover from training and competition. However, there are no systematic reports on sleep patterns of elite soccer adult athletes. This article described the sleep pattern of elite soccer athletes and identified its factors associated. We included only original articles, written in English, and with professional male soccer players aged over 18 years old competing in the first national division. On days without match and at a matchday, the mean of total sleep time, sleep onset latency, and sleep efficiency were less than the National Sleep Foundation's recommendation in almost all articles. Both local and match outcomes can affect the sleep pattern. So, elite soccer athletes are often unable to achieve sleep recommendations. Home matches, defeat, or a draw, as well as travels, are factors that negatively affect the quality and quantity of sleep of the athletes.

© 2021 FUTBOL CLUB BARCELONA and CONSELL CATALÀ DE L'ESPORT. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

Introduction

Sleep is associated with several physiological processes that aid recovery and adjustments resulting from training and sports competition. During sleep, there is a peak of pulsatile growth hormone release, reduced cortisol release, consolidation of memories, and potentiation of immune responses.¹ When sleep deprivation or restriction occurs, the physiological processes can be disrupted, leading to a low ability to recover and adjust to training.² By contrast, sleep in ideal

quantity and quality can favor physical performance,³ and sleep extension can improve sports performance.⁴ However, this last premise should be viewed with caution.⁵

Sleep patterns is a personal schedule of bedtime and wake up time.⁶ With this information, it is possible to describe some variables of sleep, like sleep duration, sleep onset latency (SOL), and sleep efficiency (SE). The recommendations of the National Sleep Foundation (NSF) for adults to get a good quantity and quality sleep is sleep duration > 420 min (min.), SOL < 30 min., and SE > 85%,^{7,8} and it is considered that athletes may need more sleep duration than most people.⁹ Regardless of the modality, gender, or sport type (individual vs. team sports), athletes have difficulty getting a good night's sleep,

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: professoraldocoelho@gmail.com (A.C. Silva).

and even elite athletes may have poor sleep quality.^{10,11} Some of the main factors that negatively alter sleep are the time that competition and training session starts. The competition started after 20h00 and training started until 07h00 have a deleterious effect on sleep.¹² Several matches start at 20h45 for the UEFA Champions League, at 22h00 for LALIGA and the First Division of the Brazilian league. At this time, the psychomotor vigilance is lower and there is a greater propensity for sleep onset, however, the athletes are subjected to competitive stimuli that delay sleep onset.¹³ Considering the match schedule and post-match commitments, athletes can show regular behaviors that will lead to a reduction in the quantity and quality of sleep, establishing a chronic sleep restriction.¹⁴

In recent years, the number of publications on soccer athlete sleep has increased.^{15,16} However, many studies investigated amateur,¹⁷ youth,¹⁸ or college athletes.¹⁹ It is noteworthy that elite athletes train more days and hours, with a greater perceived exertion compared to amateur or

semi-professional athletes. These differences may be due to the organization, economic situation, and higher salaries of professional athletes, leading to exclusive dedication.²⁰ College students often report sleep problems,²¹ and when college students are athletes, they have worse sleep habits than non-athlete college students,²² poor sleep quality and insufficient sleep.²³ Thus, the analysis of the sleep pattern of elite soccer athletes is less known in the literature. This review aimed to describe the sleep pattern of elite soccer athletes and identify its factors associated.

Materials and methods

This review was conducted following the guidelines of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses - PRISMA -²⁴ (Table 1). Two researchers selected articles published in the Cochrane Library, PubMed, Scopus,

Table 1 PRISMA checklist.

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both.	1
ABSTRACT			
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number.	1
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known.	2-3
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).	3
METHODS			
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information including registration number.	Not satisfied
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale.	3
Information sources	7	Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.	3
Search	8	Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	3
Study selection	9	State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).	3-4
Data collection process	10	Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	4
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made.	3-4
Risk of bias in individual studies	12	Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or	NA

Table 1 (Continued)			
Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #
		outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis.	
Summary measures	13	State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means).	4
Synthesis of results	14	Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., I^2) for each meta-analysis.	NA
Risk of bias across studies	15	Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies).	NA
Additional analyses	16	Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if done, indicating which were pre-specified.	NA
Study selection	17	Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram.	4
Study characteristics	18	For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations.	4-5
Risk of bias within studies	19	Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12).	NA
Results of individual studies	20	For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: (a) simple summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot.	NA
Synthesis of results	21	Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency.	NA
Risk of bias across studies	22	Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15).	NA
Additional analysis	23	Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]).	NA
DISCUSSION			
Summary of evidence	24	Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers).	6
Limitations	25	Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of identified research, reporting bias).	11-12
Conclusions	26	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research.	11-12
FUNDING			
Funding	27	Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data); role of funders for the systematic review.	13

Web of Science, and SPORTDiscus databases in searches performed in March 2021 and updated in June 2021. The search terms were ("soccer" OR "football") AND (sleep OR "sleep parameters" OR "sleep extension" OR "sleep restriction" OR "sleep deprivation" OR "sleep quality" OR "sleep index" OR "sleep amount" OR "sleep quantity" OR "sleep duration").

The inclusion criteria were original articles, articles written in English and published in peer-reviewed journals, only professional male soccer players with a mean age over 18 years old and playing in the first national division; and the existence of objective or subjective sleep variables. We

excluded articles with athletes undergoing injury treatment, review articles, articles in press, short-communication, and editorials.

Information related to the sample, study design, intervention, and results were extracted from each article. Data reported information on participants (age, nationality), type of analysis (descriptive, experimental, duration of observation, the inclusion of matches), and sleep variables. Sleep variables were obtained from the numerical information of each article. Due to the wide variation in study designs, different variables analyzed, and different




Fig. 1 Prisma flowchart.

instruments used, we did not perform a meta-analysis. Regarding the description of the days before the matchday, the following D₀ was used, the matchday was defined as D₀, and the days after the match were defined as D₊.

For the global description of SE, SOL, and total sleep time (TST), all conditions described in the studies were grouped. Two conditions were established for the description of sleep variables: a day with match and days without match. On the matchday, all match conditions described in the studies were grouped. On days without a match, all conditions

without a match were grouped, such as training days, travel, or days off.

Results

Details of the search process are available in Fig. 1. The systematic search found 600 articles. Of these, 121 were found on PubMed, 142 on SPORTDiscus, 161 on Web of Science, and 176 on Scopus. After deleting the duplicate articles, 235

Table 2 General characteristics of the studies.

Study	Sample				Country	Season	Number of matches per study
	Age (years old)						
	n	M	±	SD			
Fowler et al. ³³	6	23.4	(19.9–25.9)*		Australia	Competition	12
Fowler et al. ³²	16	27	(25–29)*		Australia	Competition	1
Laux et al. ¹⁶	22	25.8	± 5		Germany	Competition	74
Thorpe et al. ²⁷	10	19.1	± 0.6		England	Competition	2
Buccheit et al. ³⁷	12	24.4	± 5.3		France	Pre-season	2
Fullagar et al. ³¹	15	25.5	± 4.9		England	Competition	2
Fullagar et al. ³⁴	16	25.9	± 7.5		Germany / Netherlands	Competition	48
Rijken et al. ⁵⁷	11	22#	21-32#		Netherlands	-	ND
Thorpe et al. ³⁰	29	27	± 5.1		England	Competition	ND
Clemente et al. ³⁸	35	25.7	± 5		Portugal	Competition	53
Fowler et al. ³⁶	23	26	± 4		Australia	Competition	ND
Thorpe et al. ²⁸	10	19.1	± 0.6		England	Competition	2
Carriço et al. ¹⁵	25	26.3	± 4.7		Portugal	Competition	154
Fessi et al. ⁴⁰	12	25.6	± 3.6		Qatar	Competition	52
De Beéck ³⁹	26	23.2	± 3.7		Netherlands	Pre-season / Competition	ND
Jaspers et al. ⁴¹	26	23.2	± 3.7		Netherlands	Pre-season / Competition	ND
Khalladi et al. ²⁶	111	23.7	± 4.8		Qatar	-	ND
Nédélec et al. ¹⁴	20	26	± 4.6		France	Competition	7
Nédélec et al. ²⁵	1	31			France	Pre-competition / Competition	2
Silva et al. ³⁵	23	26.5	± 5.2		Brazil	Pre-season / Competition	ND
Selmi et al. ⁴²	15	24	± 1		Tunisia	Pre-season	ND
Springham et al. ²⁹	18	24	± 3.8		England	Pre-season / Competition	48

*= 95% confidence interval; #=median; ND= not described

articles remained. Afterward, we excluded 75 articles after reading the titles and abstracts due to not meeting the eligibility criteria. We excluded 138 articles after a complete reading. Thus, we included a total of 22 articles for final review.

All articles were published from 2014 onwards (Table 2). The overall sample was 482 athletes and comprised between one²⁵ and 111 athletes²⁶ per study, and the lowest and the maximum mean age was 19.1^{27,28} and 31 years old,²⁵ respectively. The studies were carried out in nine different countries, and the country with the highest number of publications was England.^{27–31} The number of matches per study varied between one³² and 154 matches.¹⁵ The number of days before the D₀ varied between one³⁰ and nine days,³¹ and the number of days after the D₀ varied between two^{25,33} and eight days.^{27,28}

The duration of the sleep monitoring varied between six days³⁰ and 16 months¹⁶ (Table 3). Sixteen sleep variables were identified, with TST, SE, and SOL being the most used. The awakening's number,^{15,31,33–35} the bedtime,^{14,15,31,34,36} the wake up,^{14,15,31,34,36} and the time in bed also were reported.^{14,15,25,36} In objective monitoring, the instrument used was actigraphy. Among the seven studies with actigraphy, only two described the minimum movement score (threshold) for characterization of sleep/wakefulness, the intervals (epochs), and the frequency,^{14,25} and one described the sleep/wake threshold and the interval.³⁵ In subjective monitoring, the well-being questionnaire

^{27,28,30,32,37–42} and the sleep diary^{14,25,32,35,36} were the most used.

Overall, the mean TST was less than 420 min. in 58% (23/40) of the conditions. The lowest and highest reported mean TST was 223³¹ and 524 min.³⁴, respectively. The SOL was greater than 30 min. in 46% (18/39) of the conditions. The lowest and highest described mean SOL was 15.8³¹ and 159 min.²⁵, respectively. The SE was less than 85% in 72% (26/36) of the conditions. The lowest and highest reported SE was 68.4%³¹ and 93.1%,³⁵ respectively.

In days without a match, the mean TST was less than 420 min. in 43% (12/28) of the conditions, the mean SOL was greater than 30 min. in 43% (12/28) of the conditions, and the mean SE was less than 85% in 63% (17/27) of the conditions. In D₀ (Table 4), the mean TST was less than 420 min. in 91% (10/11) of the conditions, the mean SOL was greater than 30 min. in 55% (6/11) of the conditions, and the mean SE was less than 85% in 100% (9/9) of the conditions.

In D₋₁, the mean TST ranged from 294³² and 486 min.³¹, the mean SE ranged between 81.2 and 86.8%,³¹ and the mean SOL ranged from 20.6 and 22.5 min.³¹. In D₀, the mean TST varied between 272³¹ and 500 min.³⁴, the mean SE varied between 70%²⁵ and 81%,¹⁵ and the mean SOL varied between 15.8³¹ and 65 min.²⁵ On D₀, one article showed greater total sleep duration in away match compared to home match,¹⁵ while other article observed no difference in any sleep parameter for matches played at home or away from home.³³ Another point is than on D₀, the perceived

Table 3 Description of the methods and main results of the studies.

Study	Methods		Main results
	Instruments	Sleep variables	
Fowler et al. ³³	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ∅ Actigraphy ∅ Perceived sleep quality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Variance from mean bedtime SOL Sleep duration Wake episodes SE Mean wake duration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Greater SOL in away matches. SOL greater in D₊₁ away match than in D₊₁ at home Sleep duration and higher number of awakenings in D₊₂ at home than in D₊₂ away match. Reduced sleep duration on matchday when compared to D₋₁ and D₊₁ for away matches.
Fowler et al. ³²	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ∅ Actigraphy ∅ Sleep diary ∅ Wellness questionnaire 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sleep duration Sleep quality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shorter sleep duration the night before the travel and at D₀ compared to other nights.
Laux et al. ¹⁶	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ∅ Scale Sleep Quality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Satisfying the person's sleep 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A positive association between sleep quality and increased risk of injury.
Thorpe et al. ²⁷	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ∅ Wellness questionnaire 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sleep quality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There was no association between training load and sleep quality.
Buccheit et al. ³⁷	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ∅ Wellness questionnaire 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sleep quality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A reduced sleep quality on the 6th day of camp.
Fullagar et al. ³¹	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ∅ Actigraphy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sleep duration Mean bedtime Mean waketime SOL SE Wake episodes Wake episodes duration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shorter sleep duration on travel night compared to Post 1. Lower SE during travel night compared to Post 1 and Post 2. D₀ had a shorter sleep duration compared to D₊₂, D₊₃, D₊₄, D₊₇, D₊₈, and D₊₉.
Fullagar et al. ³⁴	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ∅ Subjective sleep questionnaire 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bedtime Awakening time Sleep onset latency Sleep duration Wake episodes Total wake episode duration Sleep restfulness Average duration of naps 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shorter sleep duration for days with night matches compared to training days and day matches. Less restorative sleep after night matches when compared to training days and day matches.
Rijken et al. ⁵⁷	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ∅ PSQI 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sleep quality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There was no effect of the intervention on sleep quality.
Thorpe et al. ³⁰	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ∅ Wellness questionnaire 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sleep quality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sleep quality was worse on a post-match compared to the pre-matchday. Sleep quality increased from D₊₁ to D₊₃.
Clemente et al. ³⁸	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ∅ Wellness questionnaire 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sleep quality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There was no difference in sleep when one or two matches per week.
Fowler et al. ³⁶	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ∅ Sleep diary 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bedtime SOL SE Waketime Get-up time TIB 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shorter sleep duration during the travel when compared to other days. Sleep duration and time in bed reduced on the arrival day when compared to Post 1, Post 2, Post 3, and Post 4.

Table 3 (Continued)			
Study	Methods		Main results
	Instruments	Sleep variables	
Thorpe et al. ²⁸	Ø Wellness questionnaire	Sleep duration	There was no association between distance covered at high-speed running and sleep quality. Lower TST after night matches when compared to training days and day matches. Higher TST in away matches compared to home matches.
Carriço et al. ¹⁵		Bedtime Awakening time TIB TST SOL SE Total wake episode duration	
Fessi and Moalla ⁴⁰	Ø Wellness questionnaire	Sleep quality	Sleep quality was higher in D ₀ with victory, compared to D ₀ with defeat or draw. Sleep quality was higher in D ₀ with a draw when compared to D ₀ with defeat. Sleep had a trivial effect to predict performance.
De Beéck et al. ³⁹	Ø Wellness questionnaire	Sleep quality	The training load did not affect sleep.
Jaspers et al. ⁴¹	Ø Wellness questionnaire	Sleep quality	
Khalladi et al. ²⁶	Ø PSQJ	Sleep quality	The prevalence of poor sleep quality was 68.5%.
Nédélec et al. ¹⁴	Ø Actigraphy Sleep-wake threshold > 50 activity count E-poch – 60-second Frequency – 30 hertz	Bedtime Awakening time TIB SOL TST SE Sleep quality	Most players (90%) indicated worse sleep quality in the nights after evening matches compared to training days. Bedtime and TST were lower in D ₀ when compared to training days.
Nédélec et al. ²⁵	Ø Sleep diary Ø Actigraphy Sleep-wake threshold - 50 activity count E-poch – 60-second Frequency – 30 hertz	SSQ TIB SOL TST SE SSQ	SOL and SE the night before the injury were out of intra-individual variability. SOL in the week before the injury was greater than at baseline. SOL greater at D ₀ than at baseline. SE was out of intra-individual variability in D ₀ .
Selmi et al. ⁴²	Ø Sleep diary Ø Wellness questionnaire	Sleep quality	SSQ was lower in D ₀ than baseline. Sleep quality increased during the intense training period.
Silva et al. ³⁵	Ø Actigraphy Sleep-wake threshold – 80 activity count E-poch – 60-second	Sleep duration SE SOL Time awake	A moderate negative relationship between SE and injury characteristics. 44% of the variance in the number of injuries can be explained by SE.
Springham et al. ²⁹	Ø Sleep diary	Sleep quality	Sleep quality decreased to below baseline values in the first half of the

Study	Methods		Main results
	Instruments	Sleep variables	
	Ø Self-report measures		season. Salivary testosterone had a quadratic relationship with sleep quality. Salivary cortisol had a linear relationship with sleep quality. Salivary testosterone: Cortisol had a linear relationship with sleep quality.

Legend: PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Questionnaire Index; SE, sleep efficiency; SOL, sleep onset latency; SSQ, subjective sleep quality; TIB, time in bed; TST, total sleep time.

Study	Sleep pattern			Kick-off
	TST (minutes)	SE (%)	SOL(minutes)	
Carriço et al. ¹⁵	349 ± 43	79 ± 6	32 ± 17	The kick-off was not described.
	333 ± 53	78 ± 7	35 ± 28	The kick-off was not described.
	369 ± 60	80 ± 8	26 ± 20	The kick-off was not described.
	399 ± 92	81 ± 8	41 ± 42	Matches which kick-off before 18h00.
	328 ± 88	78 ± 8	31 ± 21	Matches which kick-off after 18h00.
Fullagar et al. ³⁴	500 ± 41	–	22 ± 13	Matches which concluded before 18h00.
	343 ± 96	–	26 ± 15	Matches which kicked off after 18h00.
Nedelec et al. ¹⁴	291 ± 72	75 ± 13	45 ± 38	2 matches at 20h30, one match at 19h00, 2 matches at 18h00.
Nédélec et al. ²⁵	302 ± 77	70 ± 10	65 ± 35	Matches which kick-off time between 19h00 and 21h05.

sleep quality was worst in losing compared to drawing and winning.⁴⁰ In D₊₁, the mean TST varied between 343 and 348 min.³¹, the mean SE varied between 75% and 77%,³¹ and the mean SOL varied between 20.9 and 28.6 min.³¹ When analyzing the training days, the mean TST ranged between 383¹⁴ and 537 min.³¹, the mean SE ranged between 79.1%¹⁴ and 85.4%,³¹ and the mean SOL ranged between 16³⁴ and 38 min.¹⁴

Discussion

This study aimed to describe the sleep pattern of elite soccer athletes and identify factors that can affect sleep. In general, most athletes do not reach the NSF's recommendation for the adult, a fact that can be aggravated on D₀, where 91% of the observed results described the sleep duration below of sleep duration's recommendation. In addition, other factors can affect soccer players' sleep, such as the match location, match outcome, and travel.

In general, the sleep variables monitored in D₋₁ met the NSF's recommendations.^{7,8} The schedule of D₋₁ was different between the studies. In one article the athletes had rest,³² in an article the athletes trained in D₋₁ and rested in the D₋₁ of the other match³¹ and an article did not describe what

was done the day before the matchday.³³ Interestingly, even with a training session on the day before the D₀, the athletes reached the sleep duration recommended by the NSF. Recently, Roberts et al¹² found no effect of the night before the sporting event on sleep variables.

Regarding D₀, almost all studies indicated that the soccer athlete does not reach the NSF's recommendation. The mean sleep duration of 500 min. was observed when the match was completed until 18h00.³² When the match kicked-off after 18h00, the mean sleep duration was reduced to 343 min. Thus, the end time of the match must be considered when analyzing the athlete's sleep duration on D₀. These results can be explained by the match time, which generally delays the SOL, excessive light, intense exercise that increase the core temperature, tension, and arousal of the central nervous system that results from the competition and cheering.^{14,34} Furthermore, Nédélec et al¹⁴ demonstrated that 90% of the players had worse sleep quality in the post-night matches compared to training days, while bedtime and TST were lower post-night matches when compared to training days. The arousal induced by the match, environmental conditions, and behaviors before and after a night match, with naps, caffeine, and alcohol consumption being able to explain the lack of sleep after night matches when compared to training days.^{10,43}

The exact time that the match continues to affect sleep has different results. A match can negatively affect sleep variables at D_0 and not show significant differences between D_0 and D_{+1} .^{31,32,34} Differently, sleep quality may take four days to reestablish D_{-1} values.³⁰ This deleterious effect on sleep patterns may lead to a reduction in a training capacity and a consequent reduction in high-level match actions. Depending on the schedule in which the team is inserted, the athlete will have negatively altered sleep and, consequently, will increase the risk of injury and reduce their capacity for high performance.

Few studies described the minimum duration that the athlete should play to be included in the analyses.^{30,31,34,40} The absence of this information in 80% of the studies must be considered when interpreting the results. The physical and technical performance can be different if the athlete was a starter or a non-starter.^{44,45} If the athlete was substituted in the first half, the residual effect of the match could be smaller than those who participated in the full match. The same can be considered for athletes who were substituted in halftime or athletes who entered the final moments of the match. So, it will be hard to understand if what affected the sleep pattern were the physical demands and their residual effect or were the professional and personal commitments that occur after the match. Therefore, further studies must describe the time the athlete was present at the match and, if possible, the external load that he was submitted.

The match location affected some sleep variables, such as higher TST and SE at D_0 in away matches. It is suggested that social commitments have a greater influence in home matches, considering that there is no need for return travel, thus, athletes can spend more time with friends or family. Fowler et al³³ found no statistically significant difference in sleep variables when comparing home and away matches in D_0 . However, they observed in home matches, large effect size indicating lower SOL in D_{+2} , lower bedtime variance in D_{-1} , lower TST in D_{-1} , and higher TST and number of awakenings in D_{+2} . The authors argue that the fact that coaches and staff stayed with the players in the hotel facilitates the monitoring of the athletes' sleep.

However, some aspects should be considered. Home teams have an advantage in winning the disputed points.⁴⁶ Consequently, the match outcome may have impacted the sleep pattern.⁴⁰ Besides, the distance from away matches and the time spent to the place where the night's sleep would be monitored. In some countries with a smaller geographic area, away matches may take place over shorter distances than in countries with larger areas. Thus, it is essential to describe the time spent going to bed to understand the real effect of localization. In addition, there are leagues in which teams from the same local duel each other (e.g., Flamengo x Fluminense, both teams from Rio de Janeiro and participate of First Division of Brazilian league), thus, the home factor becomes irrelevant, considering that the sleeping location will not be affected. Consequently, more information should be provided by articles describing the effect of match location on sleep variables.

Sleep quality was worse when the match outcome is defeat, compared to winning. Besides, the sleep quality on nights with a draw was worse when compared to winning. In the post-match with defeats, the worsening in sleep quality

is accompanied by an increase in perceived stress and fatigue, which can be explained by the reduction in post-match testosterone with defeat.⁴⁰ Studies corroborate this information, showing that perceived stress is positively associated with worsening sleep quality⁴⁷ and the reduction in perceived stress predicts the improvement in sleep quality.⁴⁸ Evidence indicates that stress can trigger an increase in anxiety, which can lead to physical activation, nervousness, and apprehension, and consequently, affect sleep quality.⁴⁹⁻⁵¹ Fessi and Moalla⁴⁰ did not describe the bedtime or wake up, which may omit an acute sleep restriction, and consequently affect the sleep quality. Another feature not described is whether the match was played away or at home, even if defeat or draw in away matches is more common.⁴⁶ As described above, the match location can negatively affect the sleep variables. Thus, current information on the effect of the match outcome on sleep is still scarce and more studies are needed.

Results regarding the impact of the training session on sleep variables were contradictory. Two studies showed that athletes achieve sleep recommendations.^{31,34} Both studies did not describe the times that the training sessions started. Even with athletes being recommended to stay at least 10 hours in bed, Nédélec et al¹⁴ identified that they did not reach the NSF's recommendation. Interestingly, despite the start of training sessions after 10h00. This inability to achieve the proper sleep duration may be due to the use of social media, cell phones, games, computers, television, competition anxiety, or performance concerns.^{10,52}

Training load (TL) does not seem to affect the athlete's sleep. Three studies found no relationship between TL and sleep.^{27,28,41} These results are the opposite of those observed in Australian football players, where TL was related to sleep during pre-season.⁵³ When an intense training period occurs in soccer, sleep can be impacted.⁴² In the studies included in this review, sleep was monitored during the competition phase, and due to the number of matches played, training sessions can be established more to recover the athletes or maintain the level of physical fitness, when they are considered the starting athletes, consequently, less oscillation in the internal TL and external TL would not be enough to relate to the alterations in the sleep pattern.

In the articles described above,^{27,28,41} both authors did not describe the awakening time and the schedule of the training sessions. If the athlete is sleep-restricted, the performance in the activity may be reduced. A single sleep-restricted night can affect sports performance, especially in specific sport skills.⁵⁴ In addition, the time the activity is performed interacts with the previous waking period, where the greater the vigil, the greater the deleterious effect of sleep restriction on the observed variable.⁵⁵ Consequently, if the training session occurs in the afternoon, the reduction in sports performance will be greater. Therefore, there will be an effect of this sleep restriction on performance, causing lower TL. Thus, future studies should describe sleep variables, training schedule, and internal TL and external TL to verify the association between sleep and TL.

Studies relate sleep deprivation with the occurrence of musculoskeletal injuries in athletes, due to reduced levels of testosterone and growth hormone, and increased cortisol, important hormones in the process of protein synthesis and degradation.⁵⁶ Overall, the studies in this area indicate an

association between sleep and injuries. Silva et al,³⁵ assessed sleep during the pre-season, however, injuries included in the study were recorded up to six months after sleep analysis. Thus, sleep was not assessed at the same time as the athlete's injuries. Injuries have multifactorial causes, and in this study, it was not analyzed hormonal and psychological responses, as training and accumulation of disputed matches may have contributed to results. In the study of Laux et al,¹⁶ the sleep quality was assessed only by a Likert scale (REST-Q questionnaire), which may provide false reports, underestimating or overestimating results. Although the research lasted 16 months, the subjective sleep assessment was only recorded once every 4 weeks. It was not possible to obtain sleep data always before each match. Nédélec et al²⁵ did not record previous injuries as a risk factor for injuries that occurred during the assessment, which made it impossible to analyze whether the injuries were recurrent.

The night before the travel and the night's travel negatively affected sleep. Fowler et al³² examined the effect of 10-hour travel across 1-time zone on sleep duration. The authors found that sleep duration was shorter the night before the travel when compared to other nights. This result is explained by the fact that players need to wake up at approximately 4h00 to travel. Fullagar et al³¹ evaluated the sleep on an international flight to the west, totaling 18 hours of travel and 4 time-zones shifts. The sleep duration on night's travel was shorter when compared to the first night of recovery, and the SE was lower during the travel compared to the first and second's recovery nights. The reduced sleep duration and poorer sleep quality during travel can be explained by noise and the air pressure inside the cabin, the timing of the meal, and inadequate sleeping positions. Fowler et al³⁶ investigated the sleep duration during trans-southern travels. On this travel, the delegation took three flights, totaling 19 hours and 11 time zones, and the arrival time at 20h30. They found that the sleep duration was shorter during the travel when compared to the other days. Although athletes travel in business class and can sleep in the supine position, flight connections, and stopovers, the timing of the meal, and cabin lighting can disrupt sleep and shorten sleep duration. Fowler et al³² observed the shortest sleep duration the night before the travel when compared to the other nights. Fullagar et al³¹ could not obtain objective sleep data from the players before the match, as the athletes were from different countries, so an online subjective sleep survey was used to collect initial measures of this variable. Furthermore, a sleep diary was not used during the travel, which limits the records of information about this period of rest. Fowler et al³⁶ did not record naps, which can be considered a limitation, since the objective of the research was to investigate the sleep duration during long-haul transmeridian travel and that in this type of travel there is usually an increase in the number of naps and sleep night may present worse results since it does not represent the traveler's biological night. Thus, the lack of this data can contribute to reducing the sleep duration found. The sleep variables in this study were obtained only by self-report, which may underestimate or overestimate the results. Buccheit et al³⁷ found a small reduction in sleep quality on the sixth day after travel with six time-zones

shifts. Although sleep quality was assessed before, during, and after the travel, the authors used only self-report data. Overall, this information is essential for soccer professionals. Considering that countries like France, Germany, and Brazil are continental countries, teams are constantly traveling and competing, with few days to recovery, which can lead to chronic sleep restriction of athletes.

This study used only adult athletes who were playing in the first division, therefore, extrapolations of athletes from lower divisions and for athletes from the youth category should be viewed with caution. The studies did not describe in their methods whether the analyzes dealt with regular or reserve players, in this sense, it is recommended that future studies describe the percentage of participation of athletes in each match. It is recommended that when evaluating the match outcome, in the case of a cup, whether the evaluated team was successful (classified or disqualified) and in the case of a league, describe the team's position. It also adds up to the fact that the number of sleep nights observed was small, which limits the athlete's sleep pattern throughout the entire season. In addition, the number of players, matches played, and training sessions analyzed were small, possibly not enough to affect the analyzed variables, in addition to statistically influencing the results. The number of subjective instruments used in the studies varied widely, which makes it difficult to compare the sleep variables obtained in each study. Finally, it is important to state that almost all overall the studies included in this review were not experimental studies. At this point, the differences in some sleep patterns should be viewed most as associated or candidate determinants to changes, more than a real cause-effect relationship.

The elite soccer athlete may not reach the sleep recommendation for adult healthy on training days. On matchdays, sleep variables are even worse, and it may take up to four days to return to the pre-values match. The long-term sleep debt of these athletes can lead to reduced sports performance and increase the risk of injury. About travel, attention must be paid to departure and arrival time, so that athletes do not need to wake up early and do not arrive at their destination at a time close to bedtime. In addition, the scheduling of training sessions on the day after the match must be carried out at a time that is possible for all athletes to reach the number of hours and quality of sleep to recover from the previous match. If the match is played at home and the match outcome is defeat, more attention should be paid to the athletes. Thus, the technical committee and the medical department must interact with the athletes to raise awareness and establish strategies that can help the athletes achieve their sleep hours recommendations. As well as evaluating and identifying athletes who present complaints or sleep disorders, so that they can be treated and have a good physical and cognitive recovery during sleep.

Conflicts of interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- Kryger MH, Roth T, Dement WC. *Principles and Practice of Sleep Medicine*. 6th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders; 2016.
- Fullagar HH, Skorski S, Duffield R, et al. Sleep and athletic performance: the effects of sleep loss on exercise performance, and physiological and cognitive responses to exercise. *Sports Med*. 2015;45(2):161–86, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0260-0>.
- Watson AM. Sleep and athletic performance. *Curr Sports Med Rep*. 2017;16(6):413–8, <https://doi.org/10.1249/JSR.0000000000000418>.
- Mah CD, Mah KE, Kezirian EJ, et al. The effects of sleep extension on the athletic performance of collegiate basketball players. *Sleep*. 2011;34(7):943–50, <https://doi.org/10.5665/SLEEP.1132>.
- Silva AC, Silva A, Edwards BJ, et al. Sleep extension in athletes: what we know so far—a systematic review. *Sleep Med*. 2020;77:128–35, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2020.11.028>.
- Foundation S. Sleep dictionary. 2015; Available at: <https://www.sleepfoundation.org/how-sleep-works/sleep-dictionary>.
- Hirshkowitz M, Whitton K, Albert SM, et al. National Sleep Foundation's sleep time duration recommendations: methodology and results summary. *Sleep Health*. 2015;1(1):40–3, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleh.2014.12.010>.
- Ohayon M, Wickwire EM, Hirshkowitz M, et al. National Sleep Foundation's sleep quality recommendations: first report. *Sleep Health*. 2017;3(1):6–19, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleh.2016.11.006>.
- Vitale KC, Owens R, Hopkins SR, et al. Sleep hygiene for optimizing recovery in athletes: review and recommendations. *Int J Sports Med*. 2019;40(8):535, <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-0905-3103>.
- Gupta L, Morgan K, Gilchrist S. Does elite sport degrade sleep quality? A systematic review. *Sports Med*. 2017;47(7):1317–33, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0650-6>.
- Estivill-Domènech C, Galilea B, Rodríguez-Morilla B, et al. Do elite athletes sleep well? *Apunts Med Esport*. 2018;53(198):47–54.
- Roberts SSH, Teo W-P, Warmington SA. Effects of training and competition on the sleep of elite athletes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med*. 2019;53(8):513–22, <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2018-099322>.
- Drust B, Waterhouse J, Atkinson G, et al. Circadian rhythms in sports performance—an update. *Chronobiol Int*. 2005;22(1):21–44, <https://doi.org/10.1081/cbi-200041039>.
- Nédélec M, Dawson B, Dupont G. Influence of night soccer matches on sleep in elite players. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2019;33(1):174–9, <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000002906>.
- Carriço S, Skorski S, Duffield R, et al. Post-match sleeping behavior based on match scheduling over a season in elite football players. *Sci Med Football*. 2018;2(1):9–15, <https://doi.org/10.1080/24733938.2017.1403036>.
- Laux P, Krumm B, Diers M, et al. Recovery—stress balance and injury risk in professional football players: a prospective study. *J Sports Sci*. 2015;33(20):2140–8, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2015.1064538>.
- Vitale JA, Banfi G, La Torre A, et al. Effect of a habitual late-evening physical task on sleep quality in neither-type soccer players. *Front Physiol*. 2018;9:1582, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.01582>.
- Pallesen S, Gundersen HS, Kristoffersen M, et al. The effects of sleep deprivation on soccer players. *Percept Mot Skills*. 2017;124(4):812–29, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0031512517707412>.
- Benjamin CL, Curtis RM, Huggins RA, et al. Sleep dysfunction and mood in collegiate soccer athletes. *Sports Health*. 2020;12(3):234–40, <https://doi.org/10.1177/1941738120916735>.
- Mon-López D, García-Aliaga A, Bartolomé AG, et al. How has COVID-19 modified training and mood in professional and non-professional football players? *Physiol Behav*. 2020;227:113148, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2020.113148>.
- Schlarb AA, Friedrich A, Claßen M. Sleep problems in university students—an intervention. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2017;13:1989, <https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S142067>.
- Driller MW, Dixon ZT, MI Clark. Accelerometer-based sleep behavior and activity levels in student athletes in comparison to student non-athletes. *Sport Sci Health*. 2017;13(2):411–8, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11332-017-0373-6>.
- Mah CD, Kezirian EJ, Marcello BM, et al. Poor sleep quality and insufficient sleep of a collegiate student-athlete population. *Sleep Health*. 2018;4(3):251–7, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleh.2018.02.005>.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med*. 2009;6(7):e1000097, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0060077>.
- Nédélec M, Leduc C, Dawson B, et al. Case study: sleep and injury in elite soccer—a mixed method approach. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2019;33(11):3085–91, <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000002251>.
- Khalladi K, Farooq A, Souissi S, et al. Inter-relationship between sleep quality, insomnia and sleep disorders in professional soccer players. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med*. 2019;5(1):e000498, <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2018-000498>.
- Thorpe RT, Strudwick AJ, Buchheit M, et al. Monitoring fatigue during the in-season competitive phase in elite soccer players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2015;10(8):958–64, <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2015-0004>.
- Thorpe RT, Strudwick AJ, Buchheit M, et al. The influence of changes in acute training load on daily sensitivity of morning-measured fatigue variables in elite soccer players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2017;12(s2):S2-107–13, <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2016-0433>.
- Springham M, Williams S, Waldron M, et al. Salivary immunoenocrine and self-report monitoring profiles across an elite-level professional football season. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2020;53(5):918–27, <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000002553>.
- Thorpe RT, Strudwick AJ, Buchheit M, et al. Tracking morning fatigue status across in-season training weeks in elite soccer players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2016;11(7):947–52, <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2015-0490>.
- Fullagar HH, Duffield R, Skorski S, et al. Sleep, travel, and recovery responses of national footballers during and after long-haul international air travel. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2016;11(1):86–95, <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2015-0012>.
- Fowler P, Duffield R, Howle K, et al. Effects of northbound long-haul international air travel on sleep quantity and subjective jet lag and wellness in professional Australian soccer players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2015;10(5):648–54, <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2014-0490>.
- Fowler P, Duffield R, Vaile J. Effects of domestic air travel on technical and tactical performance and recovery in soccer. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2014;9(3):378–86, <https://doi.org/10.1123/IJSP.2013-0484>.
- Fullagar HH, Skorski S, Duffield R, et al. Impaired sleep and recovery after night matches in elite football players. *J Sports Sci*. 2016;34(14):1333–9, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2015.1135249>.

35. Silva A, Narciso FV, Soalheiro I, et al. Poor sleep quality's association with soccer injuries: preliminary data. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2019;15(5):671–6, <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijssp.2019-0185>.
36. Fowler PM, McCall A, Jones M, et al. Effects of long-haul transmeridian travel on player preparedness: case study of a national team at the 2014 FIFA World Cup. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2017;20(4):322–7, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2016.08.021>.
37. Buchheit M, Cholley Y, Lambert P. Psychometric and physiological responses to a preseason competitive camp in the heat with a 6-hour time difference in elite soccer players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2016;11(2):176–81, <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijssp.2015-0135>.
38. Clemente FM, Mendes B, Nikolaidis PT, et al. Internal training load and its longitudinal relationship with seasonal player wellness in elite professional soccer. *Physiol Behav.* 2017;179:262–7, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2017.06.021>.
39. De Beéck TO, Jaspers A, Brink MS, et al. Predicting future perceived wellness in professional soccer: the role of preceding load and wellness. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2019;14(8):1074–80, <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijssp.2017-0864>.
40. Fessi MS, Moalla W. Postmatch perceived exertion, feeling, and wellness in professional soccer players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2018;13(5):631–7, <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijssp.2017-0725>.
41. Jaspers A, Op De Beéck T, Brink M, et al. Predicting future perceived wellness in professional soccer: the role of preceding load and wellness. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2019;14(8):1074–80, <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijssp.2017-0864>.
42. Selmi O, Ouergui I, Castellano J, et al. Effect of an intensified training period on well-being indices, recovery and psychological aspects in professional soccer players. *Eur Rev Appl Psychol.* 2020;70(6):100603, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erap.2020.100603>.
43. Nédélec M, Halson S, Abaidia A-E, et al. Stress, sleep and recovery in elite soccer: a critical review of the literature. *Sports Med.* 2015;45(10):1387–400, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-015-0358-z>.
44. Lorenzo-Martínez M, Padrón-Cabo A, Rey E, et al. Analysis of physical and technical performance of substitute players in professional soccer. *Res Q Exerc Sport.* 2020;30:1–8, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2020.1755414>.
45. Giménez JV, Leicht AS, Gomez MA. Physical performance differences between starter and non-starter players during professional soccer friendly matches. *J Hum Kinet.* 2019;69:283–91, <https://doi.org/10.2478/hukin-2019-0018>.
46. Jamieson JP. The home field advantage in athletics: a meta-analysis. *J Appl Soc Psychol.* 2010;40(7):1819–48, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2010.00641.x>.
47. Brand S, Beck J, Kalak N, et al. Dream recall and its relationship to sleep, perceived stress, and creativity among adolescents. *J Adolesc Health.* 2011;49(5):525–31, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2011.04.004>.
48. Eliasson AH, Kashani M, Mayhew M, et al. Reducing perceived stress improves sleep quality: a longitudinal outcomes study. *Chest.* 2010;138(4):913A, <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.10417>.
49. Spielberger C, Sydeman S. State-trait anxiety inventory and state-trait anger expression inventory, in *The use of psychological testing for treatment planning and outcome assessment*. In: Maruish ME, Maruish ME, Hillsdale NJ, eds. *The Use of Psychological Testing for Treatment Planning and Outcome Assessment*, 1st ed., USA: L, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc; 1994.
50. Sanz-Carrillo C, García-Campayo J, Rubio A, et al. Validation of the Spanish version of the perceived stress questionnaire. *J Psychosom Res.* 2002;52(3):167–72, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3999\(01\)00275-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3999(01)00275-6).
51. Horváth A, Montana X, Lanquart J-P, et al. Effects of state and trait anxiety on sleep structure: a polysomnographic study in 1083 subjects. *Psychiatry Res.* 2016;244:279–83, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2016.03.001>.
52. Knufinke M, Nieuwenhuys A, Geurts SA, et al. Self-reported sleep quantity, quality and sleep hygiene in elite athletes. *J Sleep Res.* 2018;27(1):78–85, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsr.12509>.
53. Buchheit M, Racinais S, Bilsborough J, et al. Monitoring fitness, fatigue and running performance during a pre-season training camp in elite football players. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2013;16(6):550–5, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2012.12.003>.
54. Vitale JA, Bonato M, Petrucci L, et al. Acute sleep restriction affects sport-specific but not athletic performance in junior tennis players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2021;1(aop):1–6, <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijssp.2020-0390>.
55. Mougín F, Bourdin H, Simon-Rigaud M, et al. Hormonal responses to exercise after partial sleep deprivation and after a hypnotic drug-induced sleep. *J Sports Sci.* 2001;19(2):89–97, <https://doi.org/10.1080/026404101300036253>.
56. Dattilo M, Antunes HKM, Galbes NMN, et al. Effects of sleep deprivation on acute skeletal muscle recovery after exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2020;52(2):507–14, <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000002137>.
57. Rijken NH, Soer R, De Maar E, et al. Increasing performance of professional soccer players and elite track and field athletes with peak performance training and biofeedback: a pilot study. *Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback.* 2016;41(4):421–30.