



EDITORIAL

Hamstrings/quadriceps ratio in isokinetic tests: are we looking in wrong direction?



In knee isokinetic tests, the ratios have had a specific value, in addition to the torque peak;¹ and for years, the concentric hamstrings/quadriceps ratio [Hcon/Qcon] has been accepted as an indicator of kinetic stability in topics such as injury prevention and functional recovery,² with a value of 0.6 as normal,³ in tests performed at 60°/s. Steindler, who first described this, established a 3:2 ratio as the cutoff.⁴ However, we have observed that, in our own data, and in different published works, a value lower than this is frequently reported, both in people with knee pathology and in athletes. Already previously, it had been questioned in isometric values as well.⁴

In apparently healthy people, not athletes, Muff⁵ reports a ratio of 0.52 and 0.53, on the dominant and non-dominant sides, respectively; while Wong⁶ reports 0.47, in a comparable group, without differentiating sex or side. Kong and Burns⁷ studied the ratios in men and women, without finding significant differences between them, in terms of these balances; the reported value was 0.52.

In athletes, Rosene⁸ summarizes different collegiate sports [soccer, volleyball, basketball and softball], separated according to whether they are male or female, and on average, it is found that this ratio is 0.5 on average, in both genders; with similar values in sports studied; although Dos Santos,⁹ finds an average of 0.54 for female athletes and 0.62 for male athletes. Correia¹⁰ found in professional

soccer players with hamstring muscle injury, a value of 0.55 on the side without previous injury, and 0.59 on the side previously injured.

Ruiz,¹¹ in a population with knee arthroplasty, after completing a rehabilitation process, found a ratio of 0.51 on the affected side, and 0.49 on the unaffected side.

Finally, in a systematic review, Hewett¹² summarizes some papers about people without injury, 396 women and 319 men, finding a ratio of 0.51 for women and 0.67 for men.

When we carry out a simple analysis of these authors, we find that the average obtained for women is 0.51 and 0.53 for men; for subjects without specifying sex, 0.53; and for the total population from these studies, 0.53. The results are described in Table 1.

We know the importance of biomechanical balance for knee stability, but we also know the importance of returning to activity in people who are recovering from an injury, which is why we must reconsider whether the value of 0.6 is really the expected, or if we must accept that, it is at 0.5 ± 0.05 , giving the weight of a new value, to the Hcon/Qcon, in non-athletes, or in athletes in return-to-activity phase, where a neuromuscular control is the aim¹³; beyond the importance of the functional ratio Hexc/Qcon, the functional tests to determine dynamic knee valgus,¹⁴ or the assessment of this ratio in a specific torque angle, or the rate of torque development.⁴

Table 1 Summary of Hcon/Qcon ratios studied.

Author	Gender	n	Right side	Left side
Muff ⁵	Women	10	0.52	0.53
	Men	20		
Wong ⁶	Women	16	0.47	
	Men	14		
Kong ⁷	Women	15	0.52	0.52
	Men	25		
Partial: non-athletes, non-injured		100	0.50	0.52
Rosene ⁸	Women	55	0.50	0.48
	Men	26		
Dos Santos ⁹	Women	65	0.54	
	Men	101		
Partial, athletes, non-injured				
	Women	120	0.52	
	Men	127	0.56	
Correia ¹⁰	Without previous injury	12	0.55	
Previously injured	12	0.59		
Ruiz ¹¹	Affected/non-affected side	44	0.51	0.49
Hewett ¹²	Women	396	0.51	
	Men	319		
Total	Women	557	0.51	
	Men	505	0.53	
	Unspecified	56	0.53	
	Total population	1118	0.53	

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.apunsm.2023.100410](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apunsm.2023.100410).

References

- Sharma KN, Qudus N, Hameed UA, Khan SA, Kumari A, Alghadir AH, Khan M. Mode-specific effects of concentric and eccentric isokinetic training of the hamstring muscle at slow angular velocity on the functional hamstrings-to-quadriceps ratio—a randomized trial. *PeerJ*. 2022;10:e13842, <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.13842>.
- Umutlu G, Erdogan AT. A different insight into neuromuscular performance evaluation: the influence of fatigue in hamstrings: quadriceps ratio. *Hacettepe J Sport Sci*. 2020;31(4):152–62, <https://doi.org/10.17644/sbd.722549>.
- Davies G. Cap. 10: isokinetic approach to the knee. In: Maigne Robert E, ed. *Physical therapy of the knee*, 19th ed., Churchill Livingstone; 1988:221–43.
- Ruas CV, Pinto RS, Haff GG, Lima CD, Pinto MD, Brown LE. Alternative methods of determining hamstrings-to-quadriceps ratios: a comprehensive review. *Sports Med Open*. 2019;5:11, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-019-0185-0>.
- Muff G, Dufour S, Meyer A, Severac F, Favret F, Geny B, et al. Comparative assessment of knee extensor and flexor muscle strength measured using a hand-held vs. isokinetic dynamometer. *Phys Ther Sci*. 2016;28(9):2445–51.
- Wong OMH, Cheung RTH, Li RCT. Isokinetic knee function in healthy subjects with and without Kinesio taping. *Phys Ther Sport*. 2012;13(4):255–8, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2012.01.004>.
- Kong PW, Burns SF. Bilateral difference in hamstrings to quadriceps ratio in healthy males and females. *Phys Ther Sport*. 2010;11:12–7.
- Rosene JM, Fogarty TD, Mahaffey BL. Isokinetic hamstrings: quadriceps ratios in intercollegiate athletes. *J Athl Train*. 2001;36(4):378–83.
- Dos Santos M, Barbosa CA, De Carvalho F, Mascarin NC, Benedito AA, Da Silva AC. Isokinetic hamstrings-to-quadriceps peak torque ratio: the influence of sport modality, gender, and angular velocity. *J Sports Sci*. 2012;30(6):547–53.
- Correia P, Santos P, Mil-Homens P, Gomes M, Dias A, Valamatos MJ. Rapid hamstrings to quadriceps ratio at long muscle lengths in professional football players with previous hamstring strain injury. *Eur J Sport Sci*. 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2020.1714741>.
- Ruiz M, Torra M, Sola L, Perez N, Carrillo M, Guma M, et al. Changes in physical function and isokinetic muscular strength of quadriceps and hamstrings three months after a rapid recovery total knee arthroplasty. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med*. 2018;61S:e103–308.
- Hewett TE, Myer GD, Zazulak BT. Hamstrings to quadriceps peak torque ratios diverge between sexes with increasing isokinetic angular velocity. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2008;11(5):452–9, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2007.04.009>.
- Fort-Vanmeerhaeghe A, Arboix-Alió J, Montalvo AM. Return-to-sport following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in team sport athletes. Part II: progressive framework. *Apunts Sports Med*. 2022;57:100361, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apunsm.2021.100361>.
- Mercader-Vila Eduard. Prevention of non-contact anterior cruciate ligament injuries in female athletes. Let us make it easy. *Apunts Sport Med*. 2021;56:100371, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apunsm.2021.100371>.

Pavel Loeza Magaña^{a,*}, Iván Giovanni Valdez Solís^a, Delia Daniela Fernández Carapia^a, Lezly Elizabeth Alcalá Morales^a, Pedro Iván Arias Vázquez^b, Héctor Ricardo Quezada González^c

^a National Medical Center “20 de Noviembre”, Félix Cuevas 540, col. Del Valle, Mexico City, Mexico

^b “Juárez” Autonomous University of Tabasco, División Académica Multidisciplinaria de Comalcalco. Ranchería Sur 4ta Sección, s/n. Comalcalco, Tabasco, Mexico

^c Sporthabilia medical center. Remedios Valle 19A, Culhuacán, Mexico City, Mexico

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: doctor.pavel@hotmail.com (P.L. Magaña).

Received 19 December 2022; Accepted 27 February 2023

Available online 21 March 2023